

SOUL WARS

DISCLOSURES THAT END SPIRITUAL DECEPTIONS AGAINST HUMANITY



**SPIRITUAL PROGRAMMING
FROM PYTHAGORAS TO DOREAL**

www.astralquest.com

The Light Of The Great Fire

In 1976, the First Printing of Modern Management Technology defined (otherwise known as the Admin Dictionary) carries a definition for "cross" that quotes FO 3350 as explaining it. The phrase "light of the Great Fire" is in the definition. (FO equals Flag Order a type of Sea Organization issue)

The text said to come from this FO is as follows:

Cross, the symbol of the cross has been widely used in symbolic tradition, and with many interpretations given to it. The many forms of the word "cross" itself, however, traditionally are said to derive from (come from) a basic root word meaning "light of the Great Fire." The distinctive cross of the Church of Scientology is symbolic because of its eight points, of the eight dynamics. Above the shield of the Sea Org coat of arms, it not only symbolizes the Sea Org members devotion to the aims of the Church of Scientology, but also his commitment to the greatest good for the greatest number of dynamics. The cross's position above the shield also indicates that Sea Org is a religious fraternity within the formalized structure of the Churches of Scientology. (FO 3350)

Further:

FO 3350 24 June 1973 is titled "Confidential" as per Modern Management Technology Defined, first printing. (Modern Management Technology has an index of types of issues, the one for Flag Orders lists the number, then the title, then the date – and in the title column it has “Confidential”, which means if there *is* a title, it isn't listed.)

The definition of "cross" above talks about the cross's use over the Sea Org coat of Arms, but the FO covering the Sea Org coat of arms is FO 3351, which is numbered later, and dated EARLIER as 20 June 1973.



In Modern Management Technology Defined, first printing 1976:


The definition of "Coat of Arms" references as it's source FO 3350

The definition of "Sea Org Coat of Arms" references as it's source FO 3351

The definition of "Sea Org Symbol" references first FO 3350 for first part of definition, second part of definition references tape 6804SM which this definition goes on about it being the symbol for the Galactic Confederacy.

The Tech Dictionary has:

SCIENTOLOGY CROSS, a cross about three inches high and is of simple but effective design without lettering or other ornament. The model of the cross came from a very ancient Spanish mission in Arizona, a sand casting which was dug up by Ron. The cross is a regular Roman cross with four additional short points between the four long points, a true eight dynamic Sea cross; the sunburst cross. (*Abil 14*)




Scientology Cross

- *Dianetics and Scientology*
Technical Dictionary
© 1983 L. Ron Hubbard

It does bear a strong resemblance to the Rosicrucian Cross, if you took away it's ornamentation etc.



Rosicrucian Cross



Stained Glass – Temple Emanu-el New York

There is nothing about a cross in Ability 14 in my copy of it from the old tech vols, nor is the tape referenced above regarding the Sea Org Symbol: 6804SM (which is listed as being entitled The Unknown Datum) a correct tape designation as far as I can tell. There is a tape 14 September 1955, 5509C14 titled "The Unknown Datum a MEST-shaking Lecture". However there is nothing in that tape about a cross, but funnily enough, in this tape Ron is talking about secrecy and the Rosicrucians.

"Well, he's got it all worked out in terms of quantity. He has to have so much not-knowingness in order to have so much knowingness until he'll hold anything into him which has a sufficient quantity of not-knowingness connected with it. Hence, you get the Rosicrucians saying, "Secrets. Just write in here and we'll give you the answer to a lot of secrets. We're all very secret."

And people say, "Gee, a store of not-knowingness. Hah!"

And they write in and they get back a bigger store of not-knowingness than they bargained for.

14 SEPTEMBER 1955

In February 2007 when I started researching this again, I checked the definition of cross in my biggest dictionary (a 5 inch thick Oxford). All word origin trails of the word "cross", had absolutely nothing whatsoever alluding to "light of the Great Fire."

I then entered that particular phrase in quotes, into Google. The top 2 results at that time refer to the Emerald Tablets of Thoth, and that ONLY. One of the results was from crystalinks.com ie:

<http://www.crystalinks.com/emerald3bw.html>

I picked up the research on this again in February 2008, and the top result was now from Gerry Armstrong's site but still NO other results with that particular phrase.

The name of the article is "Source's Apprentice - The Scientology Cross".

"The "light of the Great Fire" is a reference to an occult Emerald Tablets of Thoth, the myth and significance of which Hubbard was most likely acquainted through Aleister Crowley."

ecclesiopath.xenu.ca/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=14&Itemid=1

The link as of now, does not appear to be working.

I can't say that I agree with the author that Hubbard necessarily knew of these through Crowley, but we'll let that lay for now.

In this article, in a hyperlink of the phrase "Emerald Tablets of Thoth" which links right to the same link that I found:

<http://www.crystalinks.com/emerald3bw.html>

This is a page titled the "Key of Wisdom", which is Tablet III of the Emerald Tablets of Thoth.

"Oft have I journeyed the deep hidden passage, looked on the Light that is Life among men. There 'neath the flowers of Life ever living, searched I the hearts and the secrets of men. Found I that man is but living in darkness, light of the great fire is hidden within.

At the bottom of the page were several links, one of which was:

THE EMERALD TABLETS: TABLE OF CONTENTS

<http://www.crystalinks.com/emerald.html>

That link is to a page that at the top has "translated by **Doreal**".

The writer of the article on Gerry Armstrong's site makes NO mention of the connection to **Doreal**, and to date, I have seen no other Scientology involved author mention it either.

Doreal, (**Maurice Doreal**) real name is Claude Doggins, and he formed an organization called The Brotherhood of the White Temple near Denver, Colorado.

From my notes (apologies that I don't have the sources of the below 4 quotes to hand right at this moment):

"**Maurice Doreal** was born Claude Doggins of Sulfer Springs, Oklahoma in 1898 (or so I have heard).

He looked more like a feed-store owner or small-town banker than the guru he became to his thousand or so followers. He founded his 'Brotherhood of the White Temple' in 1929, naming it after a group of 'Masters' of the same name often invoked by Helena P. Blavatsky's successor Annie Besant (the 'New Age' Theosophical leader - Branton). He claimed that after serving in the First World War, he traveled to Tibet and spent eight years studying there with the Dalai Lama. **Doreal** amassed one of the world's largest and finest occult and science-fiction libraries--over thirty thousand volumes--

..."In the late 1940s **Doreal** became convinced that an atomic

war was to strike in May 1953 and gathered his followers to build 'Shamballa Ashrama,' an 'atom-proof' city in a mountainous valley thirty-five miles southwest of Denver.

..."In a 1946 interview with a reporter from the ROCKY MOUNTAIN NEWS, **Doreal** said, 'I had predicted atomic war years before it came. I saw atomic energy at work several years ago when the Dalai Lama of Tibet ushered me into the Great White Lodge 75 miles under the Himalayas.'

Doreal called Shamballa (the) 'center of all the occult lore of this planet' and said that in the cavern housing Shamballa, as in the cavern that housed the Shasta colony, 'suspended, without apparent support, above the temple is a mighty globe of radio-active material. Around it shimmers a mist of opalescent light allowing only the life-saving and life-bearing rays to emanate.' Seeing the might of these rays was **Doreal's** introduction to atomic power." --

[note the similarity to the description of "The Emanator" in L. Ron Hubbard's "History of Man"]

In 1939, Claude Doggins, using the pseudonym "**Maurice Doreal**", publishes *The Emerald Tablets of Thoth the Atlantean*, through his "Brotherhood of the White Temple" in Castle Rock, Colorado.

Per website : <http://www.sacredscience.com/baumring/readinglist.htm> the book is 140 pages.

Claude (as **Doreal**) alleges that he has a connection with the "Great White Lodge" which also works through the pyramid priesthood, and that he was instructed to recover the Emerald Tablets and return them to the Great Pyramid in 1925.

He then alleges that he was given permission to retain a copy of the Emerald Tablets at that time, and that he was later "given permission" to publish them through the Brotherhood of the White Temple.

Doreal published a translation of ten of the twelve Tablets. The last two Tablets are found in the "Interpretation of The Emerald Tablets", also by **Doreal**.

These are the very same "Emerald Tablets of Thoth" which the Church of Scientology later uses as a source for their "cross" definition.

In 1943, using the same pseudonym, Doggins, publishes several books, *The Return of the Gods to America*, *Mysteries of Mount Shasta*, and *Atlantis and Lemuria*. He uses quite a few of the exact same concepts as Blavatsky, and these books also contain forerunners of the Snake/Reptilian stories that David Icke, Sitchin, and others will later resurrect and expand upon.



Doreal

Regarding **Doreal** and Mount Shasta:

First, per <http://www.siskiyou.edu/shasta/bib/B16.htm> is the following:

<http://lightofthegreatfire.com/light%20of%20the%20great%20fire.htm>

[MS458]. **Doreal**, M. *Mysteries of Mount Shasta*. Sedalia, Colo.: Brotherhood of the White Temple, Inc., no date. A classic example of the fantastic stories about Mt. Shasta. Contains an account of the author's visit in 1931 to Mt. Shasta: "I am going to give you an account of what happened to me in 1931. I am not going to ask you to believe it but it is not a fairy story. When I was lecturing in Los Angeles, in 1931, two of the inhabitants of Mt. Shasta came to Los Angeles and attended my lectures and they were there for a week before they let me know who they were and then, one Friday evening, they introduced themselves to me and they told me I could visit them at Mt. Shasta. I told them it was impossible for me to go there and get back in time for my lecture. They said, 'We have another way of going,' so we took a car out into the hills, just off Cahuenga Boulevard, - out through Hollywood and drove out toward Topanga Canyon. They gave me a little thin mask almost like cellophane. We did not have cellophane at that time, at least not much, and it had no chemicals and they told me to put that over my face and I did. Then they gave me a belt with two little pockets on the side and a row of buttons. I did not know what was going to happen, but I knew something was going to happen. Each one took me by the arm and told me to press certain buttons and I went up through the air like a rocket plane and we rose until the earth looked like it was almost fading out, breathed perfectly because something in that mask over my face condensed the breath and it seemed that around us there was a shell of some kind of force, because I could hear a humming noise all the time. When we came down it seemed like almost no time had passed; probably, fifteen or twenty minutes. We landed about two thirds up the side of Mt. Shasta-we landed in front of a small building" (pp. 12-13). Also describes a city within the mountain: "...The space we came into was about two miles in height and about twenty miles long and fifteen miles wide and it was as light as a bright summer day, because suspended, almost in the center of that great cavern of space was a giant glowing mass of light" (p. 14). According to the author, Lemurians, cigar-shaped ships, and the Caroline Islands are all important to the story of Mt. Shasta.

Note that a biography of M. **Doreal** can be found in Kafton-Minkel's *Subterranean Worlds*, 1989. 16. Legends: Lemuria. [MS458].

Additionally from "Mysteries of Mt. Shasta":


... At last **Doreal** found himself in an enormous cavern 'ABOUT TWENTY MILES LONG AND FIFTEEN MILES WIDE AND IT WAS AS LIGHT AS A BRIGHT SUMMER DAY, BECAUSE SUSPENDED, ALMOST IN THE CENTER OF THAT GREAT CAVERN OF SPACE WAS A GIANT GLOWING MASS OF LIGHT....

Kafton-Minkel's *Subterranean Worlds*, 1989

[Again, note the similarity to the description of the "Emanator" implant in *History of Man* by L. Ron Hubbard]

From <http://www.hiddenmysteries.org/mysteries/mu/lemuriaremember.html>

Maurice Doreal (Claude Doggins by birth)spoke about an ancient race of lizard or serpent humanoids with advanced technology, representatives of which were preserved in "Rainbow City," a hidden metropolis somewhere in Antarctica. He also propagated a mythos about Mt. Shasta, and wrote a book called "The Mysteries of Mt. Shasta." His ancient history of the world included blue-eyed, blond-haired Aryan superhumans who came from Mars to Earth, and who warred with the Serpent Race. The two groups (according to **Doreal**) eventually nearly destroyed one another with terrible weapons, and then fled underground for survival. Their descendants, according to **Doreal**, are at war with one another to this day, and he somehow managed to tie this all in with the deros and teros of Shaver's "mystery." [Shaver's mystery is covered down further in this article]



Eliphas Levi

Before I mention the next point, possibly of interest is that in September 1868, Eliphas Levi published ***The Great Secret or Occultism Unveiled.***

"You will be as gods, knowing good and evil".

Eliphas Levi considered this to be his most important and final treatise, and a summation of his esoteric philosophy. If anyone is interested, I saw it here online:

http://spoogle.us/~tsuzuki/occult/the_great_secret/the_great_secret.html

Just 9 years later, in 1877, Blavatsky's *Isis Unveiled* was published. One of the points discussed, is the "great secret" (science, etc.) which lay buried amid the ruins of ancient cities.

In 1895, Hume Nisbet published a story entitled "*The Great Secret: A Tale of Tomorrow*". In this story, there's a discovery of an "Island of the Dead", and it's main character has aspirations to rule the world:

"To be able to annihilate Europe — nay, the entire globe — with a single touch, leaving his own sympathizers unhurt as spectators, would be an achievement worthy of his brain if accomplished at the precise moment of his calculations."

The main character is also referred to as:

"... a scientific fiend ... who to discover a single scientific secret would coldly mutilate half of humanity."

In November, 1938, L. Ron Hubbard allegedly writes "*Excalibur*", an unpublished manuscript. He describes it in 1952, as follows [emphasis mine]:

*The truth of the matter is that **the raw, naked material of "Excalibur"** has the effect upon **Homo sapiens of uninhibiting him.** And he suddenly realizes that **all those things which have held him in a cage are shadows.** And they're shadows of such flimsy character that about four cases out of fifteen, in reviewing the material, find themselves suddenly-they think-capable of doing anything they wish to do, and they promptly proceed to do it.*

Source: L. Ron Hubbard lecture entitled: *INTRODUCTION: THE Q LIST AND BEGINNING OF LOGIC*, 10 November 1952

*If this had been the simple job of putting together how do you make a universe, that job was done in 1938, and it was written about in a book called "Excalibur." But it didn't work because **everybody was in agreement with the MEST universe.....***


Source: L. Ron Hubbard lecture entitled: *FORMATIVE STATE OF SCIENTOLOGY, DEFINITION OF LOGIC*, 6 DECEMBER 1952

L. Ron Hubbard also refers to this work of 1938, as being “Scientology”. As per a lecture in 1954:

*The first science, by the way, 1932, was Scientology, and it was **Scientology still in 1938** when it was first really named.*

Source: L. Ron Hubbard lecture entitled: 4 OCTOBER 1954 INTRODUCTION TO THE EIGHTH UNIT

Five years later in April of 1943, L. Ron Hubbard published a story in Science Fiction Stories magazine, entitled "*The Great Secret*".



In 2008, "*The Great Secret*" was released in book form, found online at Google Books.

Excerpts (emphasis mine):

*Before him lay **the Great Secret!** The Secret which had made a dead race rule the Universe! And that Secret would be his, Fanner Marston's, and Fanner Marston would be the ruler, the new ruler, the arbiter of destiny for all the Universe!*

...Parva, dead, beautiful city of the ancients....city of knowledge and power.

...the spell of the ancients was broken, their books were open...

*...he alone would **know**.*

There, men said, lay the most advanced science of the Universe, sealed up in a strangely constructed city, covered with the dust of eons.

One and all agreed that Parva and Parva alone contained the sum total of knowledge gathered by a vanished race, one which had been so far advanced that ethereal communication with the planets had been possible, that its spaceships could land on ground.

*Of the Great Secret, men understood very little save that which had been expressed in a short formula....with that formula a man might master **all**.*

The Great Secret that had made this civilization great...

If thou, O Man, would rule the worlds, the All, First learn thou, the folly of matter and the material lusts.

and with that revelation, L. Ron Hubbard's "Great Secret" concludes.

Compare the revealed "Great Secret" above, to this:

*But it didn't work because **everybody was in agreement with the MEST universe**.....*

Source: L. Ron Hubbard lecture entitled: *FORMATIVE STATE OF SCIENTOLOGY, DEFINITION OF LOGIC*, 6 DECEMBER 1952

At this point, I'd like to remind you that in 1939, **Doreal** published the "*Emerald Tablets of Thoth*" which is pretty much exactly right on this same story line as well.

All five of these authors – and especially Blavatsky, Nisbet, Hubbard, and **Doreal** - are essentially pushing the same basic idea of a "*great secret science*", "dead" races, "hidden" cities and places where the *secret knowledge* could be found, and usually, how one could "rule the world" with this knowledge. I will add that both the *Emerald Tablets of Thoth*, AND L. Ron Hubbard's later Scientology materials both cover similar ground concerning warring alien races, underground bases, etc., the subject of which leads to the next point, the "Shaver Mystery" of 1944, and Amazing Stories magazine, published by Ziff-Davis publishing.

It might interest readers to know, that per David Griffith Graham, (declared "Suppressive Person" by the Church of Scientology) states in "Mates Story" that David Ziff, one-time Senior Case Supervisor of the Flag Land Base, is of "Ziff-Publishing fame".

The author of this article you are currently reading, also personally knew both David Ziff and his wife Mary.

David Ziff is listed as one of the first 100 clears of the Church of Scientology.

Various items re David Ziff:

The Nation

29 September 1969

Donovan Bess

*To explore this, I asked a question of David Ziff, a **Columbia anthropology graduate** who supervises the top level of auditing at the Advanced Organization of the American Church in Los Angeles. A "just cause," he said, "is expressed in any patriotic statement made by any country." The implication conveyed by such statements is that Scientology's ambitions extend beyond giving people spiritual help. Ziff also was told about criticism of his church by an ex-Scientologist who has set up a rival group. **"If he's an ex-Scientologist," Ziff said, "he's an ex-human."***

Current I'm a Scientologist web page for David Ziff:

I have been a Scientologist since 1960 when I was a student at Columbia University in New York City. After I graduated from college in 1961, I pursued advanced studies in the religious philosophy of Scientology in England. I had the privilege of studying directly under L. Ron Hubbard. Later, I had the opportunity to work directly with the Founder of Dianetics and Scientology in various capacities.

On May 14 1996, on the newsgroup alt.religion.scientology, a poster "David Mayo", says:

David Ziff when he was the Editor of "Advance" magazine in the 1970s told me that he made up the "Success Stories" in 'Advance' magazine. I can't prove that now.....

Per the Auditor magazine, issue 326, September 2005 Mary Elizabeth Ziff died April 7, 2005, and is listed as

survived by her husband David, and her son Cameron.

However, in 2008, David Griffith Graham lists David Ziff as being deceased, in a memorial thread on an internet forum.


David Ziff has a profile at amazon.com, and has done 2 reviews:

http://www.amazon.com/gp/pdp/profile/A15GAL2MBVOJXJ/ref=cm_pdp_pop_prof_more

He reviewed the Church of Scientology's "The Way To Happiness" and referred to it as the "Philosophic code for the ages" on January 5, 2005; and he reviewed a book on photography February 22, 2007.

The website "The Internet Portal", which has a copyright 2001 to 2005, carries what appears to be a **previous** version of David Ziff's "I'm a scientologist" web page, and has the following:

David tells of the untimely death of his father, a famous author and celebrity, when David was 14, and how he later overcame this turned his life into an adventure, working with L. Ron Hubbard, the founder of Scientology.



[screen capture of relevant portions of the internet portal website, taken 6:54PM February 8, 2010]

David Ziff was in college in 1960, which puts him anywhere from age 18 to 21, therefore born anywhere from 1939 to 1942. Per the previous, his father died when he was 14, and William Ziff Sr. (born in Chicago, August 1, 1898) the head of Ziff-Davis publishing, died in 1953. This seems to indicate that David was born in 1939 approximately. That would make William Ziff Jr. (later head of the publishing empire) David's *brother*, and Cameron Ziff, David's son, would be the *grandson* of William Ziff Sr.

On the website Jewish Achievements, section "Written Word", it lists William B. Ziff under the *Magazines and Other Publications* category.

In 1938, a year before David was born, his father wrote a book entitled "*The Rape of Palestine*", published by Argus Books, and he is indeed, considered to be a famous author.

His book has been said to be, “one of the most essential source materials of the period between 1936-9. It fully explains and documents the artificial source of Arab-Jewish enmity and the Middle East conflict in terms of pernicious and bungling British Geo-Politics.”

Per Joelbainerman.com, William Ziff Sr's book is also considered to be, "Probably one of the most important books for both Jews and Arabs to read..."

Ziff's book was banned by the British in Palestine, however it is now in the public domain and has been uploaded to the Internet Archive, here:

<http://www.archive.org/details/rapeofpalestine008176mbp>

He also authored a book entitled: “The Coming Battle of Germany”, a controversial 1942 bestseller, in which he urged Allied leaders to subordinate ships and guns to heavy bombers, and win World War II through air power.

Ziff corresponded with Basil Liddell Hart, (who was also jewish) who was a British military strategist.

Hart began publishing his theories in the 1920's, and in September 1939, the clandestine Jewish military force in Palestine, the Haganah, began publishing it's military journal entitled Maarachot, which was in Hebrew. In it's first issue, Basil Henry Liddell Hart submitted an article entitled “Rapid Training of Recruits”. Hart continued to write for this journal for many years, sharing his theories of military strategy and tactics.

Since this was going on in the 1920's and 1930's, I imagine Edward Bernays picked up on some of these theories, and perhaps even incorporated some of them into his “Public Relations” strategies. Which certainly, could be considered a field that “wars for control” of men's minds, if nothing else. Oddly enough, while Bernays's books on how to manipulate the public mind were somehow ending up in Nazi Goebbel's library, Liddell Hart felt that theories similar to or even developed from his own, were somehow showing up in use by Germany against the Allies! For example, the “Blitzkrieg” tactic.

After the War, Liddell decided to simplify and crystallize his principles, and he came up with the phrase “the indirect approach”, which is basically two fundamentals:

- (1) Direct attacks against an enemy firmly in position almost never work and should never be attempted
- (2) To defeat the enemy one must first upset his equilibrium, which is not accomplished by the main attack, but must be done before the main attack can succeed.

In Liddell Hart's words,

In strategy the longest way round is often the shortest way there; a direct approach to the object exhausts the attacker and hardens the resistance by compression, whereas an indirect approach loosens the defender's hold by upsetting his balance.

And:

The profoundest truth of war is that the issue of battle is usually decided in the minds of the opposing commanders, not in the bodies of their men.

He considered this “indirect approach” to be just as workable in other fields, such as business, romance, etc.

The William B. Ziff Company, founded 1920, was a successful Chicago advertising agency that secured advertising from national firms such as Proctor and Gamble for virtually all African American weekly newspapers. In 1923, Ziff acquired E. C. Auld Company, a Chicago publishing house. Ziff-Davis publishing, was co-founded in Chicago by William B. Ziff, Sr. and Bernard G. Davis. In 1942, Ziff-Davis merged with the Alliance Book Corporation. Ziff's first venture in magazine publishing was *Ziff's Magazine* which featured short-stories, one-act plays, humorous verse, and jokes. The title was changed to *America's Humor* in April 1926.

Bernard George Davis was the student editor of the University of Pittsburgh's humor magazine, the *Pitt Panther*, and was active in the Association of College Comics of the East. In his senior year he attended the association's convention and met William B. Ziff. When Davis graduated in 1927 he joined Ziff as the editor of

America's Humor.

William Ziff's son, William Bernard Ziff, Jr., (born June 24, 1930) was studying philosophy in West Germany in 1953. He was 23. His father unexpectedly died at age 55 of a heart attack in Manhattan that year, and his father's share in the company passed to him. He was called back to New York, and surprised his family by deciding to give up his promising academic career to run Ziff-Davis. In 1957, Ziff, Jr. bought out Bernard G. Davis, and proceeded to lead Ziff Davis to become the most successful publishers of technology magazines in the 1970s and 1980s. Meanwhile his brother David, is involved intimately with L. Ron Hubbard and his Church of Scientology.

Per answers.com, in 1969 Ziff Communications Company was formed, and Ziff-Davis became one of its divisions. In the 60's, I believe David Ziff was at Hubbard's *Saint Hill*, and in 1968, was head of Scientology's Publications Org Worldwide, at 17 NE Thistle Street Lane. In 1969, I believe David was then on a ship with Hubbard in the *Sea Organization*, which was an organization formed in August 1967, allegedly for the "safe" delivery of *OT III*.

In 1982, William, Jr. was diagnosed with prostate cancer, and began selling off most of the magazines. Thinking he was going to die, he set up a family trust, with his three sons as beneficiaries. I read somewhere (can't find it right now) that the 3 sons held a 90 percent interest, and the other 10 percent went to three nephews, and I believe the trust fund was recently estimated at approximately 10 billion.

After setting up the trust, etc. William didn't die, and actually regained his health sufficiently enough that he stepped back into the business. Due to this, he built the empire even more, and it became a major force in computer magazines etc. He retired in 1993, and in 1994, he (and his sons), sold 95 percent of the business for \$1.4 billion. An investment firm was established which continues through to today. (I believe it's called Ziff Brothers).

I believe that Mrs. William Ziff jr., née Barbara Beitz, daughter of German industrialist Berthold Beitz, was his first wife, and his second wife was Tamsen Ann Ziff.




Berthold Beitz

Barbara's father, Berthold Beitz, has been said to be one of the few Germans who provided refuge and risked their lives to save Jews (which some Jews have challenged as not necessarily altruistic behavior on Beitz's part). He was the son of a wealthy family, who were Nazi sympathizers. He was a civil engineer, and at age 27 was an executive at Royal Dutch Shell Oil in Hamburg, when the war began (World War II).



William B. Ziff Jr.
David Ziff's brother

Ziff-Davis Publishing (William B. Ziff Sr.) purchased [Amazing Stories](#) in 1938, and Raymond Arthur Palmer became editor of Amazing Stories magazine in June 1938. In 1943, Richard Sharpe Shaver sent a letter to Amazing magazine announcing his discovery of an ancient language he dubbed Mantong, which is an alphabet of "root words" that formed the source of all earthly languages. He described this as "definite proof of the Atlantean legend," and "too deep for ordinary man."



Richard Shaver



Editor Ray Palmer

Per an article I read:

"Howard Browne, an associate editor for Ziff-Davis, read a portion of the letter and promptly tossed it in the wastebasket with a remark about "crackpots." By Palmer's own account, Browne's remark intrigued him; he retrieved the letter, studied the alphabet, "made a few casual experiments with a dictionary," and "became convinced that Shaver had discovered something important."

Shaver's letter was published in the January 1944 Amazing. Shaver then sent in a 10,000-word manuscript

entitled A Warning to Future Man, which outlined an alternate history of mankind, and a new physics. Palmer rewrote the Shaver manuscript and entitled it "I Remember Lemuria!", publishing it in the March 1945 issue of Amazing. This generated an unprecedented response, increasing reader correspondence from dozens to thousands of letters. People were discovering "cave openings" and writing in about them.



Per <http://www.softcom.net/users/vtown/feardownbelow.html>

....Dr. **Maurice Doreal** of the "Brotherhood of the White Temple, Inc.", said that interfering in the caves "would be suicide and one who revealed their location would be a murderer."

...With a little more salesmanship, he [Shaver] might have founded a successful religion, as did another pulp SF writer of Shaver's period.

More information on Doreals involvement with the Shaver mystery:

[note the use of the term "Black Brotherhood", which is now practically synonymous with the term "Illuminati" on many questionable conspiracy theory websites.]

"...Among the many warnings AMAZING STORIES received in the early days of the Shaver Mystery about the dangers of probing too deeply into the secrets of the cosmos was a letter from one Dr. M. **Doreal** of the Brotherhood of the White Temple in Denver. **Doreal** claimed intimate knowledge of the subterranean realms, and he cautioned against trying to find and explore the caves of the dero:

"Like Mr. Shaver, I have had personal contact with the Dero and even visited their underground caverns. In the outer world they are represented by an organization known loosely as the 'Black Brotherhood,' whose purpose is the destruction of the good principle in man.... The underground cities are, in the most part, protected by space-warps, a science known to the ancients, but only touched on by modern science.... I note that many are wanting to enter these caves. For one who has not developed a protective screen this would be suicide and one who revealed their location would be a murderer.

... Near the end of his letter to Palmer, **Doreal** emphasized, 'I do know that the race Mr. Shaver calls 'Dero' exists, although I know them under another name.'

Source: "SUBTERRANEAN WORLDS...DRAGONS, DWARFS, THE DEAD,

LOST RACES & UFOS FROM INSIDE THE EARTH' (Loompanics Unlimited, Port Town, Washington. 1989), researcher Walter Kafton-Minkel in his chapter 9--'THE SECRET WAR WITH THE SNAKE PEOPLE'

As the "official" story goes, L. Ron Hubbard hooks up with Parsons/Crowley during 1945 the time period of the above Shaver Mystery dog-and-pony circus. However, 1945 also marks the beginning of a 2 year period that is FRAUGHT with conflicting stories of what L. Ron Hubbard was doing. Many of these conflicting statements are contained within his own lectures, others are from outside sources. But that's a subject for another time maybe.

Not too long after this strange period of time information-wise, began Dianetics, followed by Scientology.

My (probably rhetorical at this point) question is, what is the 1970's Church of Scientology management doing using Claude Doggin's "Emerald Tablets of Thoth", Tablet III, "light of the great fire", phrase in the Admin Dictionary definition of "cross", with it's accompanying image of the Scientology Cross?

However, there was the more recent following result on Google:

A 1962 Dictionary of Symbols which has the phrase in the entry for a cross.

http://books.google.com/books?id=_pbKl-UIO_gC&pg=PT125&lpg=PT125&dq=%22light+of+the+Great+Fire%22&source=bl&ots=vdH2S8wMDr&sig=zs6PkmXvbV_P5ZQJaZ3e_KO-I3I&lhl=en&ei=kSwTS98X1ImRBZX6zaIH&sa=X&oi=book_result&ct=result&resnum=10&ved=0CCAQ6AEwCQ#v=onepage&q=%22light%20of%20the%20Great%20Fire%22&f=false

Unfortunately, the book that is linked to is a second edition, allegedly done in 1971, however this particular version is 2001.

Per wikipedia in regards "editions":

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Edition_%28book%29

Non-fiction, academic and textbook publishers generally distinguish between revisions of the text, usually citing the dates of the first and latest editions on the copyright page.

The full copy of this particular book that is linked to is here:

<http://www.aids-3d.com/Dictionary%20of%20Symbols.pdf>

It should not be overlooked that this book by Cirlot was in Spanish originally.

Per siruela.com: (bold mine)

Firstly published in 1958 with the title Dictionary of traditional symbols, was translated into English in 1962.

Cirlot kept on elaborating new words and articles, which were included in the second Spanish edition.

http://www.siruela.com/foreign.php?opcion=back_list&id_1ibrofr=78

The second edition was translated by Jack Sage in 1971.

Diccionario de Símbolos Tradicionales. See: Cirlot, J. E. (Juan Eduardo). A Dictionary of Symbols, 2nd ed.

Translated by Jack Sage from Diccionario de Símbolos Tradicionales. New York: Philosophical Library, 1971.

<http://www.fiu.edu/~morriess/bookword/symbols/symbolism17ttl.html>

In the [pdf](#) file I gave above, under the Bibliography for the source of ak ur os, is listed:

BAYLEY, HAROLD. The Lost Language of Symbolism. London, 1912 (repr. 1951) (4).

Note that it says repr. which is Reprint, which is not the same as a second edition.

Regarding expired copyrights - It should be pointed out as possibly related - that there are some nefarious types abounding on the internet currently, that take an original edition of a book that someone helpfully puts on line somewhere (either at google, or gutenborg project etc.), then basically gives it a new cover, then "copyrights" the work in a new form for commercial purposes.

I have quite literally documented that a book I was looking at say from 1886, or 1910 etc., maybe 1 to 2 weeks later, was now inaccessible because it had been taken down "due to copyright infringement" by the above-mentioned nefarious types [Kessinger Publishing in this example] - thereby rendering it inaccessible to the on-line researcher as to full text.

The Bayley book mentioned, that the second edition Cirlot book linked to cites as the source for ak ur os, is a case in point. I don't see an original, un-edited version on the internet. Of course, I'm getting BURIED in results of many versions in much later times, so that could be part of the problem.

I also get the impression that the Bayley book, in it's original form, was not necessarily in great volumes of circulation, not like the many and varied later ones you see on the internet now - but I could be wrong about that.

Another way to see what was actually going on, is to be able to actually see the Flag Order that the Admin Dictionary of Scientology was mentioning.

However, Hubbard's use of the term "soldiers of light", and Jon Atack's description of a project to find all references of such, does indicate a meticulous search was ordered - which would no doubt have run into [Doreal](#), Gurdjieff, Blavatsky, the Golden Dawn, etc. etc. (more on this later in this article)

OT III, as another example, (per my documented research), is an unbelievably put together mish-mash of all kinds of previously existing archetypal story lines, mixed with some sci-fi book and magazine stories to boot - all of which were brought "to light" the masses, again, in the mid to late 1800's for the first time.

Personally, the simplest explanation is usually best, of course some things, by their very convoluted nature defy any kind of a shortly worded statement.


But, I guess I could state as an overall simplest explanation, that:

These *coincidences* are no accident.

Perhaps I'll get into more of these coincidences, but that depends on some other things which I needn't go into right now.

It has been suggested that Graham Hancock is a "different" (implied better) source along this line of ancient civilizations on earth.

Following on the heels of the Shaver Mystery, [Doreal](#) etc. - The September 1946 issue of *Amazing Stories* contained four short articles written by a **W. C. Hefferlin**. In this issue, Palmer said that each of these articles, came to Hefferlin 'from Tibet by mental telepathy.' - which is of course, a direct parallel of Helena Blavatsky and her "masters" from Tibet.



September – 1946

W.C. Hefferlin also wrote *Amazing* about Rainbow City, which was an abandoned metropolis under the Antarctic ice, in the October 1946 issue of *Amazing Stories*.



October - 1946

While discussing a “wonderful method to eliminate static from the radio”, Hefferlin mentions that his articles were “but a brief schematic of the entertainment field pertaining to the *Rainbow City*, its contents, etc.”

Palmer, the editor, then adds that *Rainbow City*, “is the headquarters, a deserted city of... (the Elder Race) under the ice of the [South] Pole, where all the gadgets mentioned and thousands more are perfectly preserved for thousands of years.”

Palmer also offers the suggestion that *Rainbow City* be given a seat in the then-new United Nations.

After these brief mentions, *Rainbow City* and **William C. Hefferlin** disappeared from the pages of *AMAZING*, apparently due to being embarrassed by jeers at the faulty science evident in his articles so far.

Additional information:

W. C. Hefferlin wrote Amazing about his adventures in Rainbow City, an abandoned extraterrestrial metropolis under the Antarctic ice. Though its inhabitants were long gone, they had left their advanced technology in place. Hefferlin's account of the space people's secrets failed to impress those readers who knew something about science; they wrote to jeer at the Rainbow City man's elementary errors, causing Hefferlin to drop out of sight for a year. He reappeared under the sponsorship of Borderland Sciences Research Associates, an occultoriented group headquartered in Vista, California. In various BSRA publications [my note: in 1947, 1948, allegedly from the "Hefferlin Manuscript"] Hefferlin and his wife Gladys related that Rainbow City's inhabitants were a race that had settled on Mars to escape the evil Snake People. When the atmosphere of Mars became unbreathable, they emigrated to earth and settled in seven great cities (Rainbow City being the greatest of all) on the continent of Antarctica, then a tropical paradise. Unfortunately, the Snake People found out where they were and attacked, scattering the settlers all over the earth and, incidentally, tipping the earth over on its axis, which is how Antarctica got to be such a frigid place.

Rainbow City was revived in 1951, in Robert Ernst Dickhoff's self-published Agharta: The Subterranean World, and again in 1960, in Rainbow City and the Inner Earth People, by Michael Barton, writing as Michael X. Barton also revived the Shaver mystery, reporting that Venusians and Masters were allied in a struggle to wipe out the deros. He further claimed to be receiving psychic communications from the long-deceased Marshall Gardner, who enthusiastically endorsed Barton's book.

<http://www.skygaze.com/content/strange/HollowEarth.shtml>

Remember that **Doreal**, also spoke of a hidden metropolis somewhere in Antarctica.

He spoke about an ancient race of lizard or serpent humanoids with advanced technology, representatives of which were preserved in "Rainbow City," a hidden metropolis somewhere in Antarctica.

<http://www.hiddenmysteries.org/mysteries/mu/lemuriaremember.html>

The idea of Antarctica having been the location of a previous civilization, is then updated by Graham Hancock's Fingerprints of the Gods in 1995, with the idea that survivors from the catastrophe of the end of the last Ice Age, [12,000 years ago] passed their knowledge onto Near East and Central American cultures. Antarctica is also where Hancock locates the origins of the engineers of The Pyramids, as I understand it, but he also presents a "rain-fall" argument as proof of the older age of the Pyramids.

Regarding the Piri Reiss map portion of Hancock's Fingerprints of the Gods, there is an interesting article concerning that to be found here:

http://xoomer.virgilio.it/dicuoghi/Piri_Reis/PiriReis_eng.htm

In any case, well before Hancock, **Doreal**, "The Shaver Mystery", Hefferlin etc., was Helena P. Blavatsky and all of the above lean heavily on Blavatsky's legominism theories in their works.

A legominism is, according to Gurdjieff, "one of the means of transmitting information about certain events of long-past ages through initiates."

You can see more on Blavatsky in my "The Blind Leading the Blind" article but essentially she was the Founder of Theosophical Society, and wrote of many things, including the "hollow earth" idea in both Isis Unveiled (1877) and The Secret Doctrine (1888).

Hancock's strategy of pointing out holes in current scientific ideas and replacing it with an alternative hypothesis, is also the same as what Blavatsky did in Isis Unveiled.

Not that there is necessarily anything wrong with that, but it is the same strategy as Blavatsky.

The second volume of Blavatsky's, the Secret Doctrine was published in 1888, and had its theme "anthropogenesis" or the origin of humanity.

Blavatsky claimed of the existence of anatomically modern man in the Tertiary Period.

The Tertiary Period is a term for a geologic period 65 million to 1.6 million years ago.

Michael A. Cremo and Richard L. Thompson resurrected Blavatsky's work of the origin of humanity, and expanded on it in 1996 with "Forbidden Archaeology"

The abridged version is: "The Hidden History of the Human Race" where the same idea as Blavatsky presented that anatomically modern humans may have been present on the Earth for millions of years is discussed.

Hancock, endorses "The Hidden History of the Human Race" as follows:

"I believe this book to be one of the landmark intellectual achievements of the late twentieth century... Never before has the case for a complete re-evaluation of the human story been made more reasonably and rationally." - From the forward by Graham Hancock, author of Fingerprints of the Gods.


Hancock is not *really* coming at this from a different direction, he is essentially simply re-introducing and editing earlier works.

This is a repeating pattern.

L. Ron Hubbard has **many** instances in Scientology, where he is essentially going along these exact same lines as to the ancient history of this planet – which is yet another demonstration of the same basic ideas continuously being regurgitated for later generations as being “new” ideas.

It has been presented elsewhere that Gurdjieff's concept of the “hasnamuss” personality is very similar to L. Ron Hubbard's concept of the Suppressive Person, or “only one”, especially concerning the destruction of such a person.

It has also been suggested that L. Ron Hubbard was trying to create his own “Fourth Way School” as described by Gurdjieff. One that could continue on after he was no longer there, and would need no further guidance.



Gurdjieff

Gurdjieff called this school "The Institute for the Harmonious Development of Man", which I have seen characterized as having to do with the realization of human potential and ability.

However, Gurdjieff had a decidedly more specific religious bent on the Institute, not a generically vague humanism one. Although, I do agree Gurdjieff did, like others of his time, cloak the true nature of his work in well-known religious symbolism or parallels.

Per <http://www.gurdjieff-legacy.org/40articles/christianity.htm>

"Gurdjieff had intended to found the Institute for the Harmonious [or Harmonic] Development of Man in Russia but the revolution precluded this. It was not until eight years later in 1921 that he was able to establish it in France. At the time, he stated the Institute's aim unequivocally: "The program of the Institute, the power of the Institute, the aim of the Institute, the possibilities of the Institute can be expressed in very few words: the Institute can help one to be able to be a Christian." He spoke of a Christian as being "a man who is able to fulfill the Commandments... both with his mind and his essence."

He certainly seemed to have familiarity with Christianity's Bible I would say at least John 12:1, and Passages 25 and 35 of John 12, if nothing else.

25 Those who love their life in this world will lose it. Those who despise their life in this world will keep it for eternal life.

35 Jesus replied, "My light will shine out for you just a little while longer. Walk in it while you can, so you will not stumble when the darkness falls. If you walk in the darkness, you cannot see where you are going.

For further information on who John was, see:

http://www.essortment.com/all/johngospelbibl_ryib.htm


Earlier in my article, I presented the following re: **Doreal**/Claude Doggins:

He founded his 'Brotherhood of the White Temple' in 1929, naming it after a group of 'Masters' of the same name often invoked by Helena P. Blavatsky's successor Annie Besant (the 'New Age' Theosophical leader

In 1930, Gurdjieff established an American Branch of his Institute for Harmonious Development of Man, with O.R. Orage.

See: <http://www.esoteric.msu.edu/printable/Irwinprintable.html>

1930 is also the same year that Guy Ballard alleges that his visionary experience at Mt. Shasta, California occurred. Per britannica.com, Guy Ballard, using the pen name "Godfré Ray King" details this experience in *Unveiled Mysteries* (1934). He then founded the I AM movement.



Edna and Guy Ballard

Per a non-attributed book on scribd.com entitled *The Men in Black and Their Magical Origins*:

"Western mystics including Karl Kellner, P.B. Randolph and G.I. Gurdjieff received instruction from surviving institutions of the Great White Brotherhood."

Now what is this "Great White Brotherhood", one might ask. Well, I'll get to that.

First, per wikipedia, Gurdjieff writes of a "Sarmoung Brotherhood", which was an alleged esoteric Sufi group based in Asia.

However, per a chronology:

"...as reproduced from Gurdjieff: the Anatomy of a Myth by permission of the author James Moore.."

We find that the Sarmoung Brotherhead is described as a wisdom school founded in Babylon.

1886 G. and Pogossian, digging haphazardly in the ruined city of Ani, find reference to the 'Sarmoung Brotherhood', supposedly a wisdom school founded in Babylon

It might be of interest as an aside, that it could be said that Gurdjieff's basic teaching was that human beings are asleep, and need to be woken up in order to truly control their lives.

Next, as per the chronology, Gurdjieff in 1896, goes to Crete, to seek traces of the "Imastun brotherhood", which I would like to add are also known as "The Wise Men".

In my article Scientology - The Blind Leading The Blind, I briefly discussed the rabbinical family of Felix Adler, founder of New York Society for Ethical Culture on 15 May, 1876.



Felix Adler

Felix's father, Samuel Adler, was rabbi of Temple Emanu-El of New York City, of which there is quite a bit more detailed discussion concerning it's origins as to the B'nai B'rith (forerunner of the Anti-Defamation League), and Cultus Verein.

Now as I just mentioned, Gurdjieff supposedly goes off to Crete looking for "The Wise Men".

Interestingly enough, the Adler family genealogy provides a surprising possible link to what Gurdjieff is theoretically looking for.

I will need to briefly cover a term here, for proper understanding of the importance of the Adler family in religious antiquity, as well as in modern day times.

The terms Kohen, Cohen, Kohanim, and Cohanim, all refer to families claiming descent from Aaron, the first high priest. Priests or Rabbis, are usually regarded as members of that hereditary caste. However, the term has been complicated by, for example, many Jews in Russia changing their name to Cohen to escape the Russian draft. This of course, greatly expands the difficulty in tracing the high priest Aaron's family line.

There is apparently a true hereditary caste of religious leaders of the Jewish community, and there is even a gene study that appears to prove a claim presented of unbroken descent from Aaron.

See: <http://www.shtetlinks.jewishgen.org/Kolomea/nameorigin.htm>

On a more humorous note, the famous hand symbol used by Spock, in the Star Trek tv series and later movies,



bears an uncanny resemblance to the hand sign for the Kohanim, the sacred line of priests.



The image I found originally showing the Kohanim sign, was at: <http://www.rabbel.nl/history.html>

There is more about Aaron at the above site as well.

The true Cohens, the priestly lines, have indeed been quite the family throughout recorded history, appearing in many interesting places around the world. Quite often, some of the more prominent have had many names, such as Christovao da Tavora of Portugal.

On that note of changing names; the Adler family, is a family of Cohanim, as per:

"The Adler family : Address delivered at the Jewish Institute...on June 6th, 1909, on the occasion of the Jubilee of the Chief Rabbi" found at archive.org.

Per the above, the family changed their name to Adler, which is the German word for the bird of prey "eagle", in honor of an event in 1616, although it is not quite clear when exactly this name change occurred.

The same source above says that there is a legend in their (Adler) family regarding their cohanim ancestors [high priest line], that prior to being in Frankfort, their ancestors came to Europe from the Isle of Crete.

My father used to tell of a tradition which was current in our family that our ancestors came to Europe from the Isle of Crete.. his revered grand-uncle... the pious Rabbi Nathan Adler, who was not given to saying or doing things lightly, a descendant of the author of the "Yalkut Shimoni," an accredited priest. If the scholar Abraham Epstein is correct in his view that the author of the "Yalkut" came from the South-East of Europe, Crete might possibly be considered the cradle of our family.The name of the author of the "Yalkut " was Rabbi Simon Hadarshan, the preacher par excellence. He did for Homiletics the Agada, He flourished before 1240 and was known in those days as Rabbi Simon " An der Pfort," which means Rabbi Simon by the Gate.

Perhaps this family, this line of high priests (cohanim) that were in the Isle of Crete at one time, could be "The Wise Men" that Gurdjieff was searching for traces of in 1896.



Vladimir Soloviev

(Note: in 1875, he met up with Blavatsky's Uncle, Rotislav Fadeyev, in Egypt.)

Per the chronology source listed originally, Gurdjieff befriends Soloviev in 1898, and together they allegedly gain access to the "*chief Sarmoung Monastery*". *This alleged journey begins from Bokhara, which is the purported source of Gurdjieff's most profound wisdoms.*

Should his writings regarding the Hasnamuss, as per All And Everything:First Series, chapter Destruction of Ashiata Shiemash's Labors, be considered profound wisdoms?

I think not.

And further, no more so than Scientology's Suppressive Person, (anti-social personality), or "only one" concepts.

Gurdjieff has also been linked with the Great White Brotherhood, which is essentially the same idea of ancient ascended Masters giving their "secrets" to the initiated, which, in both Blavatsky's and **Doreal**'s case, usually also involved alleged "trips to Tibet" to get said "secrets".

Gurdjieff is certainly right in line with all that. Another reason I say that is because the term Great White Brotherhood was apparently first used as such, to refer to these alleged ascended Masters, in 1925 by Leadbeater, in the book *The Masters and the Path*, which of course is prior to Gurdjieff's forming of the American branch of his Institute. (1930)

Now theoretically, the idea of a "*secret organization of enlightened mystics, guiding the spiritual development of the human race*" was pioneered by Karl von Eckartshausen in his book *Der Wolke vor dem Heiligtume* (1802).

However, as you can see, the book was in German.

In my research, I have found it is important to ascertain if possible, did such a work exist, when, and even more important a lot of the time, is WHEN did it first get put in "our" English and more or less mass-produced, by whom, and what was that person's interest in it.

The German title above, translates to (per Google Translate): *The cloud in front of the sanctuary.*

Following in the tradition of Blavatsky and others, Isabelle de Steiger of the Hermetic order of the Golden Dawn, translates Eckartshausen's book into English, and names it *The Cloud Upon the Sanctuary*. It gets published in 1895 in a magazine called *The Unknown World*, edited by Arthur Edward Waite, who was also

It was then published in a book form, in 1903/1909.

See <http://www.answers.com/topic/karl-von-eckartshausen> which has it as 1903, but scribd.com has one as 1909.

The book was given a high status in the Hermetic Order of the Golden Dawn.

In this book, the translator [Isabel] allegedly has Eckartshausen referring to this body of mystics, who remained active after their physical deaths on earth, as the *"community of light"*, as well as *"children of light"*, *"the invisible celestial Church"*, and the *"brothers of light"*.

The book further details that amongst the *"brothers of light"*, we approach the *"reign of wisdom and love"*, and that there is but one true religion which *"spreads it's simple truth amongst all other religions like branches."*

See: <http://www.scribd.com/doc/18580280/The-Cloud-Upon-the-Sanctuary>

Isabelle de Steiger, first joined the Golden Dawn in 1888, it's first year. She was initiated into the Isis-Urania Temple in London. In 1896, she entered the "second inner order" as an Adeptus Minor.



Isabelle de Steiger
(nee Juliet Lace)

While it may be true that Eckartshausen wrote a book in 1802 entitled *Der Wolke vor dem Heiligthume* it was only presented "to the masses" as it were, in 1903/9 by members of the Golden Dawn. Therefore, in truth, the ideas presented by Steiger and Waite "followed" Blavatsky's (and others) mass marketed similar "english translations" of so-called ancient secrets.

In fact the term Great White Brotherhood, presented by Leadbeater, in 1925, has a direct descendancy from Blavatsky, in that Leadbeater, along with Annie Besant, were Theosophists.

Blavatsky, in *Isis Unveiled*, refers to the revealers of her teachings as the *"Masters of the Hidden Brotherhood"* or the *"Mahatmas"*.

Blavatsky, like the later Gurdjieff, also claimed that she had made physical contact with these adepts' earthly representatives in Tibet; although Blavatsky also alleged that she continued to receive teachings from them through psychic channels, through her abilities of spirit mediumship. Which means that she "channeled" them, as opposed to such forms of direct spirit to spirit communication as telepathy, which the later subject of Scientology discusses here and there in it's version of spiritual matters.

English terms such as The Great White Brotherhood, brothers of light, children of light, etc. etc. are all part of the same initial push of supposedly enlightened information presented to the "masses", which still continues to

Doreal, in his *Emerald Tablets of Thoth*, uses the same basic terms as follows:

Tablet 2 - The Halls of Amenti, is about the "Children of Light" creating essentially an underground base.

*"Then for a dwelling place, far 'neath the earth crust,
blasted great spaces they by their power,
spaces apart from the children of men.
Surrounded them by forces and power,
shielded from harm they the Halls of the Dead."*

Tablet 6 - The Key of Magic

*Aye, age old in this warfare,
the eternal struggle between darkness and light.
Fiercely is it fought all through the ages,
using strange powers hidden to man.
... Banded together as in order,
Brothers of Darkness...
.. Into man's mind-space reach the Dark Brothers.
...so there exists the Brothers of Light.
Antagonists they of the Brothers of Darkness*



In 1947, right in line with all the above authors, L.Ron Hubbard publishes *Old Doc Methuselah*, using the pen name of *Rene Lafayette*, in the October issue of *Astounding*...in which, as per wpi.edu:

[Ole Doc Methuselah]

As a member of the "Soldiers of Light" he is considered to be part of an elite group of 600, which swears allegiance to no government and accepts no fee for their work.

<http://www.wpi.edu/News/TechNews/950328/OLEDOC.html>

In that SAME issue of *Astounding*, L. Ron Hubbard completes his work "The End is not Yet" part 3 of 3. The image of the original cover of *Astounding* carrying part 1, shows what looks to be some sort of secret agent

running with a book under his arm, with what appears to be a sword on the cover. Which I believe, is a straight allusion to Hubbard's *"Excalibur"* of 1938.



Alright, we now can add "soldiers of Light" to our list of terms (all referencing and pushing the same idea).

While others may not view Jon Attack and his writings in a friendly light, I find that he certainly does have his moments. Such as where he wrote this:

"In the mid-1970s while in Washington, DC, Hubbard inaugurated a secret project to find out all he could about the 'Soldiers of Light' and the 'Soldiers of Darkness'. The notion that people are born either good or evil and engage in a cosmic spiritual war can be found in Zoroastrianism, and in the Dead Sea Scrolls of the Essenes, whence it found its way into certain Gnostic Christian sects. ...In short, there are a small number of 'players', some Soldiers of Light, some Soldiers of Darkness. They are engaged in an eternal battle, using the 'pieces' and 'broken pieces' to achieve their ends."

- Jon Attack, A Piece of Blue Sky

The above does appear to line up with the beginning of my article, which detailed the same mid-1970's (1976) management of the Church of Scientology that Attack described, as using **Doreal's** Emerald Tablets of Thoth "light of the great fire" term in their Admin Dictionary. Hubbard's *Ole Doc Methusaleh* being a "soldier of light" was certainly in line with **Doreal's** Emerald Tablets of Thoth detailing the "age old battle" between "Brothers of Darkness" and "Brothers of Light" as per Tablet 6 - *The Key of Magic*...

Although, one could ask, why L. Ron Hubbard would have "inaugurated a secret project to find out all he could about the 'Soldiers of Light' and the 'Soldiers of Darkness'", when he had already written a story in 1947, featuring a character who **was part** of the "Soldiers of Light"!

Coming forward to more modern times, Wikipedia describes that The Great White Brotherhood, also known as the Great Brotherhood of Light, is *"perceived as a spiritual organization composed of those Ascended Masters who have risen from the Earth into immortality, but still maintain an active watch over the world..."*

And that *"the war (is) being waged by the (Great White) Brotherhood against the Black Magicians, a group they feel seeks to enslave the human race.."*

Pythagoras – or *Lord of the Rings* Medieval Style

Pythagoras, was a creation of a group of people calling themselves the *Pythagoreans*, who alleged that this was as per Plato, who is alleged to be a student of Pythagoras.

As an overview of this article, what you have here is a *Lord of the Rings* story, medieval style. If any readers are familiar with J.R. Tolkien's *Lord of the Rings* trilogy, then you may also know that there were additional books expanding on the fictional history, lineage, geography, language etc. etc. of the "world" encapsulated in the *Lord of the Rings* story.

This is exactly what is going on with the so-called "Greek Thinkers", of which we constantly get such ballyhooing about.

It is a created, fictional version of a "world", complete with characters, biographies of characters, inter-relationships, geography, deities, etc. etc., just like the fictional world of the *Lord of the Rings*.

Unfortunately, it's even more complicated than that, because there is consistently depicted a "forces of darkness" versus "forces of light", which in a sense, is true.

However, those whom consistently black propaganded their opponents as "forces of darkness", are...not exactly walking in the "light of truth", if you follow me there. In fact, those who consistently cloak themselves with the mantle of "lords of light"? - ***are anything but.***

There ***was*** a very different world at one time, than what you see now, but that story will be told at another time.

There are TWO beings.

In more than one of the world's religions, there is the body, and TWO beings. One, often called the soul, and one which is "the being", often called the spirit.

Most variations are quite derogatory to what the soul, often gets lumped in with, ie: **the body**.

In Scientology, the other being present, that is not "**the** being", is either referred to as "not dissimilar to a thetan" (which is basically insulting), or a "degraded being", or in one or two cases, it isn't even a being at all, it's referred to as a "protoplasm line", or a "mental mechanism".

This other being is blamed for "why" the so-called "senior being" (self-elected of course) "can't" behave as himself. As in, "**I* would be sane, if only I didn't have these nasty urges*".....kind of thing.

In Scientology in fact, the reactive mind is referred to as the body, further blaming the being that made the body, for all things "insane", "reactive", etc. etc., while the "rational" or "analytical" mind, belongs, and is, only the other being called "**the** thetan".

You might find it interesting, that in no way, (excepting 2 rare cases), is anything accurate remaining of the

original knowledge regarding these two beings.

You can see remnants here and there, but for the most part what is even more interesting, is the **one** thing that is never touched on anywhere. And that is, the fact that one of these beings, is, as regards to the body, literally *the supreme being*, as it is....*the creator of the body*.

Look over the various subjects out there, of which religion is only one, and you will not see this clearly stated anywhere.

The knowledge of this particular point, has been deliberately destroyed, buried, and blocked, where-ever it previously existed, or was brought up again.

The other point, that I excepted 2 rare cases of earlier, is that immortality for the body, as well as exhibiting full potentials as beings while living in that same “house”, comes about from the two beings working together, as equals, as teammates, and when necessary, doing things together *as one*.


The “doing things together *as one*” part above that I wrote, usually if it is mentioned at all, is off in some completely wrong direction. Which is really just more of the same particular type of disinformation, such as, that the two are “split” or “fractured” parts of the same being. This has come up again recently, updated for the times, as an advanced “scientific theory” espoused by Peter Novak and Graham Hancock. Nothing could be further from the truth. Further, this is what I call “linear thinking” used in an area where it should not be.

There is, in particular, one very standout case, where this point of 2 who can work together as one, in the same house (body), correctly shows just what the capabilities can be.


And that, interestingly enough, is, the Gospel of Thomas Judas, who is said to have been a student of Yeshua.

Even more amazing, is that this document isn’t even “straight from the horse’s mouth”! But yet, a few powerful truths manage to come through anyway, and the above point is one of them. By the way, I am not a Christian, but then neither was Yeshua.

I’ll show you what I mean:



Π, <32>→



In 1897 and 1903 three ancient fragments from Greek versions of the Gospel of Thomas were discovered during archeological excavations on the site of an ancient library at Oxyrhynchus, Egypt (a project that eventually recovered over 5000 fragments of ancient Greek texts once present in the library). **The three papyrus fragments of Thomas apparently date to between 130 - 250 CE (AD)**, and each probably represents a separate unique copy of the Gospel. The textual source of the sayings contained in the fragments was initially unclear; based on the logion found in pOxy 654 – now identified as containing the prologue and first saying – it was speculated they might represent remains of the lost Gospel of Thomas. The Nag Hammadi discovery in 1945 which unearthed a complete and well-preserved version of Thomas in Coptic made it possible to definitely identify the Oxyrhynchus texts as fragments from a lost Greek edition of the Gospel.

The three Oxyrhynchus fragments preserve 20 of the 114 sayings (or, in Greek, logion) found in the complete Coptic version of the Gospel of Thomas: pOxy 1 (the abbreviation indicates "Oxyrhynchus papyrus fragment 1") contains logion 26 to 30, 77, and 30 to 31; pOxy 654 contains logion 1 to 7; pOxy 655 preserves logion 36 to 40. These texts allow careful comparisons to be made between the Coptic text found at Nag Hammadi and

the original Greek version (the Gospel was almost certainly written in Greek originally, the Nag Hammadi version is a Coptic translation of this original Greek text). The reader will note close correlation between the two versions – a fact that helps verify the textual integrity of the Coptic Gospel of Thomas.

The Gospel of Thomas *Fragments from Oxyrhynchus*

Prologue and Saying 1 (pOxy 654.1-5)

These are the [hidden] sayings [that] the living Jesus [sp]oke a[nd Judas who] is also Thomas [recorded.] And he said, "***[Whoever finds the interpretation of the[se] sayings will not taste [death].***"

Coptic version of same saying as found in the Nag Hammadi manuscript:

These are the secret sayings which the living Jesus spoke and which Didymos Judas Thomas wrote down. And he said, "Whoever finds the interpretation of these sayings will not experience death."

Put that together with the below Translations of other sayings:

Patterson-Robinson-BWG (1998) Jesus says: 39.1 ***"The Pharisees and the scribes have received the keys of knowledge, (but) they have hidden them.*** 39.2 *Neither have they entered, nor have they allowed to enter those who wish to.* 39.3 *You, however, be as shrewd as serpents and as innocent as doves!"*

The truth has been hidden by the “brothers of light” or *Pharisees*, that is true.

This next saying, is the best example of full truth coming through about what you could do if you and your partner (the soul) worked together - that I have yet seen:

Patterson-Robinson-BWG (1998) Jesus says: ***"If two make peace with one another in one and the same house,*** (then) they will say to the mountain: 'Move away,' and it will move away."

If you understand THAT, and can achieve it, then the soul, creator of the body, can most certainly not **have** to die. It has **always** been **that being's choice**, and they can choose **not** to die.

That's enough of the Gospel of Thomas (Yeshua) for now, and as you can see, if you know what those truths are, as I do, then you know them when you see them. Even an alteration shows there was a truth previous to it, if you follow me there.

All alterations are deliberate, but some are unique in motivation. Some beings, “don't like” that truth because “it” makes *their* way of living look as evil, stupid, and narrow-minded as it **is**. It is a lot more difficult to lie that “this” is the best way, when someone else is around that “shows up” your method to be sadly lacking by comparison, now isn't it.

One of the biggest alterations of the truths I have covered so far, is the use of the word “immortality” in regards the two beings present in most human bodies.

The word “immortality” does not belong in use in relation to either being. Why? Because, the word “immortal”, is formed from the root word, and premise, of “mortal”, which means...”can die”, so in my mind, why should a word that revolves around DEATH, or LACK OF DEATH (which only applies to the being's

creation called the body, if at all), be in use to refer to a being? Even the word Life does the same thing, it also revolves around “lack of death present”... So in a sense, any word implying “DEATH” or “LIFE”, are both irrelevant terms to describe his state, he simply IS.

Immortality, as you can see, is “creation” oriented. IE: whether the CREATION “lives” or “dies” or not, as if that has anything to do with **you**. It doesn't.

The first of the two truths I have been discussing, is that the being who currently created the “house” (the body) you moved into (without asking usually), is truly.... ***the supreme being***, as far as that body goes. He is ***the creator***.

So be honest, when you talk about wanting immortality, you mean, AS YOU ARE NOW. And if you are *really* honest, you mean the body, as you do tend to primarily orient around that identity currently, don't you. Yes.

Now that's where the word immortality truly belongs, if it belongs anywhere, is in relation to a “living” creation.

YOU simply....ARE. The other being present simply...IS, as well. What is in question is this “life” you are currently leading through the body.

I'm not even getting into the various complications brought about by nobody bothering to notice who is who, in what body. It's not hard, but here is where that “All is One” confusion comes in. Most “happy humans”, won't even barely consider the idea that they are not “One” with their body, if they even bother to think about it. If they do bother to think about it, it's that the body, is an IT, and they are them. And that's about as far as that goes. By the way, those that do manage to assign the idea that at the very least, a “something” is creating the body, they usually have somewhat of a critical attitude towards how that “something” is running things. Humorously speaking, unless you can whip up another body yourself right now, I really think you have no business complaining about how someone else (who DID make one) is running things.

This is the basic problem “science” is up against. Such as in the DNA and telepathic communication going on article, which I covered in my article Scientology and Telepathy, Part 1.

Let's look at basic cloning, for example.

The bottom line is?

You have to have a LIVING CELL or you cannot create any form. “Scientists” cannot, as the beings who make the bodies do, animate NOTHING, or animate MATTER. It's not like you can electrically (quantum or otherwise) zap a pile of chemicals and dirt and voila...there's baby jimmy growing.

Never gonna happen. Matter, or Energy, applied to Matter or Energy, will not give you what we know as a LIVING CELL.

“Scientists” call the being that is animating that cell, an “it”, a “something” or a “universal force”. It's never a *someone*, exactly like you or I - Reachable, contactable, and present.

The only option, per Judaism (and it's web of all other religions) for anything resembling a “*someone*”?

Is the ultimate generalization of “**God**”.

Next, I am going to take you on a historical tour, of what has been done with the two truths I have discussed thus far, one being who is the creator of the body you are in right now, and the second being that there are two beings, and their capability if they work together as equals, of expressing their fullest potential while in the same “house”. I will also cover quite a few other points of alterations of truth.

The worst of the worst, in the area of consistent and continuous alterations, whether as blatant lies, or all the variations of lies mixed with truth, are the Mesopotamians, and their descendant groups of “Greeks”, and “Israelites”, now referred to as “jews”.

In fact, Mr. Hubbard, in his book *Science of Survival*, hits the nail on the head on this point – even though he is using it as an insult to anyone who doesn’t believe in “God”.

“... that God was a myth of some aberrated Mesopotamian...”

Yes, it was.

By the way, the real troublemakers historically, even though the vehicle that they use is “Judaism”, I don’t think ever believed in Moses and “God” as being truth. What they **do** believe in is power and control over what is defined as “human”. In my articles, when I bring up, or use the term “jewish” etc., I am either highlighting one of these troublemakers directly, or someone who is being used by them. Both of which either have jewish racial heritage by birth, or who push the jewish “plan” that the rest of us “need to follow”. I also observe that most people that are “jewish”, don’t even know the half of what their ancestors have said is their “religion”, or that said ancestors, have literally had a direct hand in causing the “Wars” and persecutions of “the jews” - to serve their own ends. In later Reform Judaism, through it’s arm of the B’nai B’rith, (Baron de Hirsch, Jacob Schiff, etc.) began moving around and “placing” jewish people around the world literally (and figuratively) as “pawns” of the troublemakers army. They also looked for and recruited junior “leaders” as well. So, I would be the first to stand with “the jews”, if **all** of them came under unjust fire because of the actions of a few. Heck, in my family, it was rumored that on one side of my “bloodline”, I descend from the house of David, and on the other, the high-priest line, both being considered “jewish”. I haven’t verified that records-wise myself, but I’m just saying that at this point in time, by either birth, or by what our society is heavily structured around and what we accept as “normal”, we’re practically all Jewish anyway, whether we know about it or not.

Back to the point of alterations, in fact Temple Emanu-El, in it’s first statement of the principles of Reform Judaism, basically lays out how Judaism has been altered over and over. (however flowery the language used).

[italics and bold are mine]

1. *Instead of one fixed and changeless revelation from God to Moses, at Sinai, the Jewish people have been heir to a progressive revelation*, which continues throughout history in the discoveries of science and in the insights of wise, sensitive, human souls. The Bible and Talmud are valuable permanent records of earlier and decisive stages in this process. But, *since revelation comes from God through human beings* all the documents of revelation are a mixture of the divine and the human, the eternally valid and the temporary and transient. Judaism is a living, growing way of life, *evolving gradually from earlier and from primitive forms to the full flowering of its universal, spiritual message.*

Now as you can see, this is a fancy way of trying to say that the truth “evolves”. No, if you had the full truth of something, “it” would not evolve, it is what it is. However, if you were deliberately dropping out parts of the

truth of something, and were lying as well, yes, that would definitely change to reflect “the times”. Which is exactly what happened. But, standing out like a spotlight into deepest night, is which truths, are simply not allowed to either exist in easy access, or in unvarnished form. Let alone the attacks launched on someone who uses and shares such truths with others. These truths, if one were prone to strategic points of view, point directly to what are these troublemakers biggest weaknesses. It’s not money, it’s not status, it is control of the BODY. If you take away control of bodies from them, *they have nothing*.

One truth in particular, if understood, opens the door, and that’s the one that is not anywhere to be found in easy access. WHO created the body, and still does.

These 2 truths combined, if put back into primary operation status, are a direct, and very dangerous threat, to said troublemakers control of “the humans” and the “society” they wish them to live in.

And well they know it.

Even ONE person, comprising two beings acting as one, (in the one beings “house” (body)), is a serious threat to them, and can cause them all kinds of damage to their sick game, all by themselves – let alone if ALL beings did such.

With that said, let’s begin our tour.

First, let’s examine the fictional character named Pythagoras and his teachings as per corresponding fictional characters Plato and Socrates. We will first look at what has been recently called “the Dual Soul Doctrine”, (by Peter Novak) and Plato’s works that supposedly support it.

The following is a list I found on the internet, that alleges cultures/religions with the two beings and one body concept.

It serves as a good starting point, so I’ll include it.

Greece---psuche and thumos
 Egypt---ba and ka
 Israel---ruwach and nephesh
 Christianity---soul and spirit
 Persia---urvan and daena
 Islam---ruh and nafs
 India---atman and jiva
 China---hun and po
 Haiti---gros bon ange and ti bon ange
 Hawaii---uhane and unihipili
 Dakota Indians---nagi and niya

We’ll take one up right now, and define the terms.

Greece---psuche and thumos

PSUCHĒ - ΨΥΧΗ

Common English translation: soul

Translated definition of the word:

PSUCHE meaning 'to breath lightly'. It is the invisible First Cause of the bio-physiological expressions considered by the ancients to be the breath. The movements of PSUCHE are supervised by the God Poseidon and PSUCHE is considered to be 'undying'. PSUCHE is commonly referred to as the THEO PYR (Divine Fire) or LOGOS. It is in this sense that it was considered that mortals contained a part of the Divine within them through which they participate in the birth-death cycle. THEOS means God, so you can see that THEO (Divine) is actually referring to the source of life of the body as being “God”.

Heraclitus (another fictional character used in medieval writings) considered the PSUCHE of humans and animals to be parts of the kosmic fire to which it returns after the death of the body and he furthermore stated while the kosmic fire is trapped in the body, it loses its Divine quality. [there's that “it's the body's fault” again]

<http://www.ysee.us/TELOSTFH.pdf>

Pronunciation guide:

<http://www.ellopos.net/elpenor/lessons/player.asp?d=psyhi>

Regarding the correct pronunciation of ΨΥΧΗ - it sounds to me like it's pronounced shu kee – with the accent on second syllable.

Other relevant Greek terms:

Ζωή - Life

Pronunciation guide:

<http://www.ellopos.net/elpenor/lessons/player.asp?d=zoe>

Pronounced zo ee, accent on the ee sound, NOT on the Zo sound as most Americans do.

Πνεῦμα – Pneuma - Spirit

Νοῦς – Nous - Higher Intellect

Ἐπιστήμη - Science - Episteme

<http://www.ellopos.net/elpenor/lessons/somewords.asp>

Psuche is considered a Feminine Noun.

[This means the Greeks are referring to this also as the "female" versus the "Male".]

Some definitions:

1 - the breath of life

1a. the vital force which animates the body and shows itself in breathing 1a

It is also referred to as a soul.

3 - the soul

a. the seat of the feelings, desires, affections, aversions (our heart, soul etc.)

<http://www.studylight.org/lex/grk/view.cgi?number=5590>

Thumos:

original Greek - θυμός

To obtain the correct pronunciation:

θ is like Th in "Therapy"

υ is E like in ego

μ is M like in make.

ό is long o, like in bone.

ς like s in see

So, thumos is pronounced thee-mos'- accent on second syllable.

It is a Masculine Noun

Definition: passion, angry, heat, anger forthwith boiling up and soon subsiding again

<http://www.searchgodsword.org/lex/grk/view.cgi?number=2372>

Thumos, is also the root word for the Modern Latin word *Thymus*, which is an important gland in the human body. The thymus is a ductless gland, consisting mainly of lymphatic tissue, located behind the top of the breastbone. It is most active during puberty, after which it inexplicably begins shrinking in size, and continues so all during adulthood. It plays an important role in the development of the immune system and produces lymphocytes.

For the sake of simplicity, I am going to arbitrarily assign the terms “soul” for the being who made and is making the body, and “spirit” for the later arriving being.

So, on the Greek terms supposedly covering a “two beings and one body” doctrine, well, as you can see, those two terms do not do that.

The term Psuche - note the “breath of life” definition, both 1 and 1a. 1a gives a pretty accurate definition actually, but you have to understand that “soul” to the major Greek “philosophers” is not necessarily the same as how I am using it, ie: to differentiate between ***separate beings, both present and equal***. And things are further obfuscated by referring to psuche in another definition, as the seat of emotions, but yet thumos is presented as the more “passionate” emotions. Which is really just an expansion of the 3rd definition of psuche. Therefore these terms are really only describing **one** being. The “soul” as I use it, is usually the one who is blamed for emotions, physical urges, etc. So, these terms are certainly following that basic pattern.

Also note that the only vague reference to two separate beings, is in the metaphorical “male” and “female” terms. Psuche being female, and Thumos being male.

I would say, (judging from the amount of obfuscation and disinformation as allegedly coming from certain Greek philosophers), that the Greeks at a prior point, obviously had the truth of two distinct beings known to some of them. In fact, remember the Greek version of the Gospel of Thomas that was found as quoting Yeshua. So, there it is, they did have these correct teachings. But what we get instead, is a “Lord of the Rings” version of Greek “truths”, that are horrific alterations. But you can tell the truth was known, to lie like that. You need the truth in order to lie.

Let's examine some specific Greek "thinkers" in this area, to better illustrate these highly unworkable "truths" being presented.

First, the word **Logos**:

Proclus - *the sacred sound and the first vibration in the evolution of the manifestation of the KOSMOS.*

Herakleitos - *an eternal and permanent cosmic Law, an absolute logical order which governs all development in the flow of things. For a human - the Logos is that which leads a person to "Correct Knowledge" (orthognosia), and "Correct Deeds" (Orthopraxia), sometimes called "reason".*

Logos, has also been defined as simply "the Word".

Plato's *Phaedo* and his later work *The Republic* discuss *thumos* as one of the three parts of the human psuche. In the *Phaedo*, Plato depicts *logos* as a charioteer driving the two horses eros and thumos (i.e. love and passion are to be guided by rationality).

Note that there is no direct description of any *being* here. It's all couched in more metaphorical language.

Plato, in *The Republic*, then mentioned nous, thumos, and epithumia, and that the nous is (or should be) the controlling part which subjugates the appetites with the help of thumos."

So now, there enters into things the "nous", which is the "higher intellect", which obviously is implying a lower intellect present, and that is the realm of the thumos (passionate emotions), and the epithumia (appetites). Appetites meaning any particularly "body" oriented desires.

"nous", is obviously a veiled allusion to the spirit, but as you can see, this being is (although not referred to as a being), the "higher" one, and the "soul" is being indirectly referred to as the "lower" one because of it's bodily desires and passions. With of course, the implication of this as being a problem for the "higher" being. Not to mention that the soul can't have any nous, only the unnamed spirit can, and that the soul needs *subjugation*.

In Dianetics, the "reactive mind" is said to only be able to think in stimulus/response, and A=A identity thinking, which is another way of saying the "soul" has no nous, or ability to have "higher intellect". That's a lovely characterization of the soul there, quite the insult. It's obvious why this is pushed so hard, it is because of what I said (and you see it in the Gospel of Thomas), becomes possible should the two beings act as **one**. What better way to try and black propaganda that, by constantly bad-mouthing the "soul" so noone would want anything to do with them, or even admit they exist. You can see what they are afraid of, by lying like this.

To demonstrate that whoever invented Plato did know the truth regarding beings qualities, he also taught that study is only recollection, because "the soul" knew everything before entering the world. But, out of the other side of his mouth, any "intelligence" present was derived from "God", and was not referred to as an independent being, but a "nous", and the "soul" (as I use it - the true creator of the body), needs to be subjugated.

Allegedly, in the 6th century B.C., the psuche is said to separate from the body, or "escape" after it's last breath. The doctrine of "transmigration" of the psuche was a basic part of *Pythagorean* ethics. [Pythagoras]

The psuche is described at this point in history, as apart from the body, and better than it, which is true. In the sense that, of course, a being is better than any creation. But, we are also told by “historians”, that this is the time period, that the idea begins that “the body” is the *tomb* of the psuche. As in....trap. This sounds like some truth being allowed to show of the spiritual beings, but only one, and the other is simply, as usual, lumped in with the body, and is ergo accused of “trapping” the other being. This is a lie, since the other being, the spirit, came later and voluntarily, so how on earth is he “trapped” by the body when he put himself there?

Next, a widespread belief in retribution after death was promulgated, and this became attached to part of the “fate of the soul” (which is not delineated as to which being) after death, if you didn’t follow “the WORD” well enough in life.

So first we have the body is a trap, and then we now have *other* traps added as being present and waiting *after* death. Great. Could they have put more of a nasty spin on things here? I mean really.....

Did you notice how ascribed to the 6th century B.C., we have just a little truth peeking through, attributed to Pythagoras?

Well, there is, but note that it is not *from* Pythagoras, it is from later alleged “students of” Pythagoras.

In 1877, *The Royal Masonic Cycloepædia of History, Rites, Symbolism, and Biography*, was compiled and edited by Kenneth R. H. Mackenzie IXo (who called himself *Cryptonymous*). He was a Theosophist, he was a friend of Samuel Mathers (future founder of the Hermetic Order of the Golden Dawn), and was also a Hon. Member of the Canongate KD-winning Lodge, No. 2, Scotland. Mackenzie's book was published in New York, by J. W. Bouton, 706 Broadway. Mackenzie, prior to 1874, claimed that he was “in contact, with six adepts of the *Hermetic Brotherhood of Egypt*” and he founded *The Society of Eight*, to which he only admitted “master” occultists.

In his book p 731, (*articles Theosophical Society of New York and Theosophy*), Mackenzie says:

“It is a noticeable fact that neither Zoroaster, Buddha, Orpheus, **Pythagoras**, Confucius, Socrates, nor Ammonius Saccas, committed anything to writing. The reason for it is obvious. Theosophy is a double-edged weapon and unfit for the ignorant or the selfish. Like every ancient philosophy it has its votaries among the moderns; but, until late in our own days, its disciples were few in numbers, and of the most various sects and opinions.

“Entirely speculative, and founding no school, they have still exercised a silent influence upon philosophy; and no doubt, when the time arrives, many ideas thus silently propounded may yet give new directions to human thought”

So, Pythagoras allegedly never “*committed anything to writing*”? That is both a lie and truth at the same time. A lie, in that the real guy *did*, (but he was not named *Pythagoras*) and what he taught was **much more** than just that tiny bit of truth we see mentioned above. A much better example of the truth being taught several times throughout history, shows up in The Gospel of Thomas. As to Pythagoras allegedly never “*committed anything to writing*” the truth aspect there, is that the fictional character of Pythagoras, of course never put anything in writing, ***because he never existed!***

See how Mackenzie then offers an explanation for this, with the tactic of “it’s obvious” heading the explanation up, followed by the whammy of that the truth is “***unfit for the ignorant and selfish.***”

Says the *ignorant and selfish* Mackenzie....who is lying to us on behalf of certain people who are *themselves*, ignorant and selfish.

In the future, if you see explanations like that for why you aren't told the truth? You will now know exactly what you're dealing with. You will also know that the truth *was* known, was taught, was written, and was **not** hidden from people. Since it was not hidden, well it can't have been hidden due to their being "ignorant and selfish". In fact, that was the problem for the group of troublemakers that continues on up into present time. It was the fact that the truth was being UNhidden. So, as soon as they thought they could get away with it, (**especially** if the person un hiding the truth, was from one of a group of people who these troublemakers have opposed all the way through history, who they call "the brothers of darkness"), they then had the writings either destroyed, hidden, or both. And sometimes, any people who still knew the teachings correctly, and who were themselves spreading the knowledge? They were **also** destroyed, as in KILLED.

I don't know about you, but all this "explanation" business raises my interest in *Pythagoras*.

By the way, watch carefully the **hard** dates throughout these "greek thinkers" I will discuss, as to when things actually show up in written form, and then in English form.

We will now examine Plato's *Phaedo* allegedly first translated into Latin from Greek by Henry Aristippus in 1160. Well, that's alright then, only 1500 years after the alleged time of Plato (sarcastically speaking).

The English translations used, seem to be primarily one from 1871, and one from 1925.

Plato's *Phaedo*, is the seventh of seven "dialogues" supposedly between Socrates and a student named "Phaedo".

So, Plato is "speaking for" Socrates here, oh boy here we go. Two sock-puppets talking to each other, as written by another sock-puppet - internet-slang speaking here.

The only bits of truth in this convoluted mess are:

Socrates (really Plato) offers four "arguments" for immortality, only 3 have anything vaguely resembling the truth.

Under The Cyclical Argument; *the soul always brings life, then it must not die, and is necessarily "imperishable"*.

Under the Theory of Recollection; *we possess [non-empirical] knowledge prior to birth*. Drop out that non-empirical twist, and there's the truth.

Under the Affinity Argument, *the soul is different from visible, mortal, and corporeal things*.

Under the Argument from Form of Life, *the [Forms incorporeal and static entities] are the cause of all things in the world*. Take out the mumbo jumbo word twisting of *Forms incorporeal and static entities*, and just say beings, and there's the truth.

And that's about all that's remaining of any truth, the rest of the *Phaedo* goes off into serious mumbo jumbo, and of course, attributes all "Forms" (including you as a being) to being created by *God*.

Here are some examples of either mumbo jumbo twists, or variations of you are GODS creation.

*...Man ought not to kill himself because **he possesses no actual ownership of himself, as he is actually the property of the gods.** He says, "I too believe that the gods are our guardians, and that we men are a chattel of theirs".*

Property? Ah...Charles Fort's *Book of the Damned*, in 1919, is just regurgitated Plato!

*I think **we're property.***

I should say we belong to something:

That once upon a time, this earth was No-man's Land, that other worlds explored and colonized here, and fought among themselves for possession, but that now it's owned by something:

That something owns this earth—all others warned off."

-*The Book of the Damned*, Boni and Liveright Inc, New York, 1919, page 163

This book concerns itself mainly with phenomena that has been reported as having "fallen from the sky." Charles Forte's other three books dealt with information that he called *the Damned*, which is information that has been explained away, or completely disregarded by Science (allegedly), such as: flying saucers before the invention of aircraft; sightings on and around Mars and the moon, the "supernatural" etc.


Eric Frank Russell's "*Sinister Barrier*" in John Campbell's "*Astounding*" Science Fiction magazine, in 1939. (the same one L. Ron Hubbard wrote for) In which, for the new generation of young people, **Plato** is again regurgitated in an updated version, this time having Platos "**Gods**", be sinister aliens, that we are **property** of.

*"Beyond that sinister barrier of our limitations, outside that poor, footling range of vision, bossing every one of us from the cradle to the grave, invisibly preying on us, are **our malicious, all-powerful lords and masters, the creatures who really own the Earth.**"*

This also sounds to me like more back-handed black Propaganda of the "brothers of darkness".

In an "*Author's Note*" at the start of the story, Russell says that "*Sinister Barrier*" is "*as true a story as it is possible to concoct while presenting believe-it-or-not truths in the guise of entertainment*". He also acknowledges his debt to Charles Fort's speculation of "***I think we are property***".

"Sinister Barrier" is a "*fictionalized speculation as to the origin of a wide range of Fortean phenomena (lights in the sky, mysterious disappearances, extra-sensory perception...)*" and is set in 2015. In terms of plot, it's a relatively straightforward conflict with a race of powerful and elusive aliens. [the "brothers of darkness" no doubt] The technology in the story has references to television, rocket planes and streamlined 120 mph ground vehicles, and the weapon that finally defeats the enemy is based on circularly polarized microwaves. "Sinister Barrier" is packed with weird newspaper clippings, which Russell says are "real news items", and that "*every back-dated press item mentioned in this story is absolutely authentic*".



In 1943 – Claude Doggins, under the pseudonym Maurice Doreal, published several books, and covered several themes, one of them was: an ancient race of lizard or serpent humanoids with advanced technology, representatives of which were preserved in "Rainbow City," a hidden metropolis somewhere in Antarctica. (see article *Scientology – The Light of the Great Fire* for more info)

Plato's presentation of that man is the **property of the gods**, gets another regurgitated facelift by Doggins, and now the **Gods** of Earth are lizard/serpents, and blue-eyed blond-haired Aryan superhumans come from Mars and war with them. And that their descendants are at war with one another to this day. In Doggin's *Emerald Tablets of Thoth*, he presents the jewish B'nai B'rith basic "brothers of light" versus "brothers of darkness" constant warfare idea.

I take it the "brothers of darkness" are the reptilians (tongue held firmly in cheek here).

Who the "brothers of light" are (bearing in mind just what their "education" is...such as **Plato**, the fictional jewish philosopher), we shall look to the B'nai B'rith's *Menorah*, 1897:

Article: *MANUAL TRAINING AND THE JEWS*, By Dr. Henry M. Leipziger

"THE Independent Order B'ne B'rith, whose motto is "Benevolence, Brotherly Love and Harmony," has discovered the underlying principle of this majestic trinity. That principle is Education. The truest benevolence is true education. It is to education that we owe the approach to harmony which is making arbitration a substitute for war, and is hastening the fulfilment of the prophetic time when the sword shall be beaten into

ploughshare and the spear into pruning-hook.

*The term "Sons of the Covenant" gets a wider meaning, **for the covenant that the members of this Order agree to fulfill is to aid in the spread of the power of light, to wage constant warfare with the powers of darkness.** How do I reach these conclusions? A reference to the report of the latest Constitutional Convention of this Order tells its story.*

** Address delivered at the reception tendered to Mr. Julius Biten at Philadelphia, November 25th, 1696.*

Section *Affairs of the Order.*

[emphasis mine]

INSTITUTION OF MORAVIA LODGE, No. 456.

..The toast was offered by Brother Adolph Passer. He said:

*Ex oriente lux. **From the East rises the golden light of the sun.** The star of our own people also rose in the east where Israel's glorious history was enacted upon glorious fields. Israel's history has not gone down—though the Jewish nation has been broken up by the power of the barbarians.*

*...When the constellation of the Jewish nation had gone down, **then arose from the ruins, out of the Western horizon, from America's new world, a new star for all our co-religionists, then sprang into being the Order B'ne B'rith.***

And this Order grew and grew and it spread from sunset to sunrise and it commands to-day the respect of all, if it will continue to labor in the spirit of its founders; and it will enclose all Jews with a strong bond and preserve them in the ether of pure neighborly love and beautiful fraternal attachment.

THE MENORAH, A MONTHLY MAGAZINE, Official Organ of The Independent Order B'ne B'rith,
EDITED BY M. ELLINGER, Vol XXII, JANUARY, 1897, TO JUNE, 1897

You can also see the Jewish “garden of Eden” idea showing through there in Doggins updated Plato regurgitation as well, with it’s “evil serpent” metaphor.

If you haven’t noted it already, you can also now see, that the whole jewish idea of that beings and bodies are “**god’s**” creation, is what the words “property” or “chattel” are describing. But the use of those words tends to obscure from a cursory view, that it IS Judaism.

Continuing on with the use of regurgitated Plato in society, a friend of mine was in the Navy from 1955 to 1959. He observed once, that if a sailor hurt himself on purpose, like contests of burning their bodies with cigarettes to see who could hold out the longest (and betting on it), that that sailor could be brought up on court-martial for “destroying Navy **property**”. So I guess, the U.S. Government feels itself in the position of the “**Gods**” of Plato. That’s cute. Not to mention that the current headquarters for the B’nai B’rith International, is in Washington, D.C.

Moving right along, Zecharia Sitchin, in 1976, writes *The 12th Planet*, and does yet another regurgitation of **Plato**, and that we are “**property**”, greatly expanding on Charles Fort, and Eric Russell’s the “**Gods**” are Aliens thing. He names them the “*Anunaki*”, allegedly using Mesopotamian tablets as his source. Sitchin is an Azerbaijani Jew, which means he is from Azerbaijani, and is most likely an Ashkenazi Jew. (versus Sephardic)

The Church of Scientology's L. Ron Hubbard tries to jump on the bandwagon of regurgitating Plato with an alien twist, and writes *Battlefield Earth* in 1982. Well, actually, I believe it was ghost-written by Vaughn Young. Unfortunately, this effort was so horrible, it didn't do very well, except as to serve as yet another updated version of regurgitated Plato's ***property of the gods***. Only now, the ***Gods*** that rule the earth, are in the future, are called "Psychlos", and they are obsessed with "credits" and gold.

Followed in 1989 by Tore Dahlin's (Tore being the ex-scientologist "gay review musical" supporter) - under the pen name of William Bramley – allegedly writes "The Gods of Eden". Which I don't think he wrote at all, he was simply the "front-man".

Followed by David Icke, in 1999, and "The Biggest Secret" (more about those "evil" reptilians), not to mention the use of the same basic title as Hubbard did with his "The Great Secret"

I don't know about you, but I am tired of getting the same dang stories fed to us over and over again!

Continuing with **Plato**, and his *Phaedo*:

*While the philosopher seeks always to rid himself of the body, and to focus solely on things concerning the soul, to commit suicide is prohibited as **man is not sole possessor of his body**. For, as stated in the Phaedo: "the philosopher more than other men frees the soul from association with the body as much as possible". Body and soul are separate, then. The philosopher frees himself from the body because the body is an impediment to the attainment of truth.*

*...The philosopher, if he loves true wisdom and not the passions and appetites of the body, accepts that he can come closest to true knowledge and wisdom in death, as he is no longer confused by the body and the senses. **Death is a rite of purification** from the "infection" of the body.*

In Scientology, L. Ron Hubbard has the "spirit" having it's "best state", as being exterior, due to various and assorted named problems associating with "the body." As you can see, that's just more regurgitated Plato.

I think it's interesting that the "soul" (creator of the body), is lumped in with the body, and called an "infection" by Plato. That is certainly one black propaganda way to keep you, the "spirit", from even bothering to look at the whole body arrangement very closely, which is I think the point of this hyperbole of Plato's. [hyperbole – ancient greek origin meaning exaggeration]

Wikipedia:

...Plato's pupil Aristotle made a distinction in his Metaphysics between Pythagoreans and "so-called" Pythagoreans.

As per much later authors...don't forget.

*...The legacy of Pythagoras, Socrates and Plato was claimed by the wisdom tradition of the Hellenized Jews of Alexandria, **on the ground that their teachings derived from those of Moses**. Through Philo of Alexandria this tradition passed into the Medieval culture, with the idea that groups of things of the same number are related or in sympathy. This idea evidently influenced Hegel in his concept of internal relations.*

Yes, and strangely enough, (humorously speaking) this is where the "writings" of the supposed Pythagoreans, Plato, Socrates etc., allegedly first begin showing up, as written by these Hellenized Jews, but then that in turn, is not in book form until actually much later, say like 1000 years later.

The ancient Pythagorean pentagram was drawn with two points up and represented the doctrine of Pentemychos. Pentemychos means "five recesses" or "five chambers," also known as the pentagonas — the five-angle, and was the title of a work written by Pythagoras' teacher and friend Pherecydes of Syros. (yet another fictional character)

Again, as brought to us from the “Hellenized Jews”, but then again, we don’t get any of this in English en masse, here in America, until basically the mid to late 1800’s, so that raises some interesting possibilities as to whether any of it really happened or not when it is said it did.

...Pythagoras suggests that the lowest class of people who come to the games are the people who come to buy or sell. The next higher class comprises of people who come to participate in the games. And the highest class comprise of people who simply come to look on. Thus Pythagoras suggests that the highest purification of a life is in pure contemplation. [this is what I call the doctrine of “sit still”, also presented in Buddhism] It is the philosopher who contemplates about science and mathematics who is released from the 'cycle of birth'. [also Buddhism] The pure mathematician's life is, according to Pythagoras, the life at the highest plane of existence.

First of all, again, this is Pythagoras as given us by the Hellenized Jews, which in turn there were no copies of, but books in the 1600’s then tell us “when” this all happened, but these aren’t even in English for mass use until the 1800’s.

Second, these writings, which are judaistic, do certainly show up in L.Ron Hubbard’s “level of games” participation scale, which is in the book Scientology 0-8 I believe. He also covers the idea elsewhere, that the best position is to be “maker of games”, or “game master”, ergo outside the game, and there is the same implied lower level status and lessened operating “ability” if one actually plays IN the game, as compared to being “outside the game”. He also portrays that a being has to come DOWN the tone scale in order TO play a game. How convenient for the loser troublemakers if this is believed. If noone “plays” with full ability against them **while still associated with a body**, then (and only then) they could.....maybe... win.

Another writing, Plato’s *Symposium*, which is another of Plato’s “dialogues” allegedly, as brought to us in English in 1920 by Benjamin Jowett, says:

"BEHOLDING beauty with the eye of the mind, he will be enabled to bring forth, not images of beauty, but realities, for he has hold not of an image but of a reality, and bringing forth and nourishing true virtue to become the friend of God and be immortal, if mortal man may. Would that be an ignoble life?"

All very flowery and nice-sounding, except one small detail. The goal is to *become the friend of God*, and ergo ONLY THEN can you be immortal. That’s just plain wrong.

Plus, do note that there is a big “if” on this occurring at all, while still “mortal”.

Well, I think that about covers enough of Plato’s mumbo-jumbo trying to pass itself off as truth.

And as you can see, there are **not** two distinct beings present in the body mentioned.

Wikipedia:

...Neopythagoreanism was a revival in the 2nd century BC—2nd century AD period, of various ideas traditionally associated with the followers of Pythagoras, the Pythagoreans. Notable Neopythagoreans include first century Apollonius of Tyana and Moderatus of Gades. Middle and Neo-Platonists such as Numenius and

Plotinus also showed some Neopythagorean influence.

New Pythagoreanism indeed. How about...he never said any of it pretty much.

The real guy (who wasn't named Pythagoras), taught something quite different.

Plus, this time range above, is actually also the time period of the alleged first biographies of Pythagoras by the Hellenized Jews, which of course, makes sense why all the references to "God" in it.

They emphasized the distinction between the soul and the body. God must be worshipped spiritually by prayer and the will to be good. The soul must be freed from its material surroundings by an ascetic habit of life. Bodily pleasures and all sensuous impulses must be abandoned as detrimental to the spiritual purity of the soul. God is the principle of good; Matter the groundwork of Evil. The non-material universe was regarded as the sphere of mind or spirit.

Note the part; "***Bodily pleasures and all sensuous impulses must be abandoned as detrimental to the spiritual purity of the soul.***"

Hence L. Ron Hubbard's "*The Great Secret*", of 1943:

*Of the Great Secret, men understood very little save that which had been expressed in a short formula....with that formula a man might master **all**.*

The Great Secret that had made this civilization great...

If thou, O Man, would rule the worlds, the All, First learn thou, the folly of matter and the material lusts.

Note the: part of the "New Pythagoreans" (the Hellenized Jews) - "*Matter the groundwork of Evil*",

as compared to the above "Great Secret" of Mr. Hubbards also, and to the description of his work "*Excalibur*" below, it is patently obvious what he drew straight from, and is yet further evidence of that Scientology is Judaism. .

In November, 1938, L. Ron Hubbard allegedly writes "*Excalibur*", an unpublished manuscript. He describes it in 1952, as follows [emphasis mine]:

*If this had been the simple job of putting together how do you make a universe, that job was done in **1938**, and it was written about in a book called "Excalibur." But it didn't work because **everybody was in agreement with the MEST universe**.....*

Source: L. Ron Hubbard lecture entitled: *FORMATIVE STATE OF SCIENTOLOGY, DEFINITION OF LOGIC*, 6 DECEMBER 1952

L. Ron Hubbard also refers to this work of 1938, as being "Scientology". As per a lecture in 1954:

*The first science, by the way, 1932, was Scientology, and **it was Scientology still in 1938** when it was first really named.*

Source: L. Ron Hubbard lecture entitled: *4 OCTOBER 1954 INTRODUCTION TO THE EIGHTH UNIT*

The “Pythagoreans”, then split into two schools allegedly, the akousmatikoi (listeners), and the mathematikoi (learners). The first focused on the “religious and ritualistic aspects” (as given by the Hellenized Jews of course), the second focused on “Math and Science”, which was more to the point at least I suppose. Supposedly, the akousmatikoi “*claimed that the mathematikoi were not genuinely Pythagorean, but followers of the "renegade" Pythagorean Hippasus*”. The mathematikoi allegedly felt “*that their own group was more representative of Pythagoras.*”

More confusing of the issue here, as usual by the Jewish troublemakers.

Next, we’ll take up Philolaus, another jew.

He was a “Pythagorean”, and taught that *all matter is composed of limited and unlimited things, and that the universe is determined by numbers* and that *the immortal soul was imprisoned as a punishment from former lives.*

Uh..if he had other lives, ergo with a body, then wasn’t he “imprisoned” then too? Gee whiz, the bad reasoning here is unbelievable.

Again, I’d like to point out, how do we know Philolaus “wrote” any of it. After all, it is a version of Plato “writings”, the *Phaedo*, which only dates to like 1300 or so AD, that alleges that Philolaus was the instructor of Simmias and Cebes at Thebes, around the time the *Phaedo* takes place, in 399 BCE. And that Philolaus is allegedly a contemporary of “Socrates”.

The Philolaus alleged writings, show a bit more of what the original guy they named “Pythagoras” taught, just a bit of a hint of TWO beings.

Wikipedia:

Philolaus regarded the soul as a "mixture and harmony" of the bodily parts;

You can see whoever attributed this to Philolaus, who in turn attributes it to “Pythagoras”, knows the truth of TWO beings, one of which makes and animates the body, even though “Philolaus” is being as obscure as hell on the point apparently.

he also assumed a substantial soul, whose existence in the body is an exile.

There’s the other being that I call the “spirit”, but notice that’s of course, not real clear on purpose, and the added twist of that this other “*substantial soul*” is only there, because he is in “*exile*”.

Philolaus, is considered by “scholars” (you can guess who that’s controlled by), to be highly representative of the Pythagorean school, and indeed, the whole idea of “Pythagoreans”. Alleged writings of Aristotle, explains how the Pythagoreans (by which he meant the circle around Philolaus) developed Anaximander's ideas about the apeiron and the peiron, the unlimited and limited, by writing that:

... for they [the Pythagoreans] plainly say that when the one had been constructed, whether out of planes or of surface or of seed or of elements which they cannot express, immediately the nearest part of the unlimited began to be drawn in and limited by the limit.

So L. Ron Hubbard’s *Factors* are coming from the jewish *Philolaus*, as follows:

- 1** Before the beginning was a Cause and the entire purpose of the Cause was the creation of effect.
- 2** In the beginning and forever is the decision and the decision is TO BE.
- 3** The first action of beingness is to assume a viewpoint.
- 4** The second action of beingness is to extend from the viewpoint, points to view, which are dimension points.
- 5** Thus there is space created, for the definition of space is: viewpoint of dimension. And the purpose of a dimension point is space and a point of view.

Point 3, assuming a viewpoint, being portrayed in other Scientology references, as the “limiting” of “*the Cause*.”

Continuing with the Philolaus Pythagoreans:

The Pythagoreans, too, held that void exists, and that it enters the heaven from the unlimited breath – it, so to speak, breathes in void. The void distinguishes the natures of things, since it is the thing that separates and distinguishes the successive terms in a series. This happens in the first case of numbers; for the void distinguishes their nature.

Point 4 and 5 of L. Ron Hubbard’s Scientology *Factors*.

The “Pythagorean Theorem”

There is a bit of a complex back story here.

First of all, it is considered part of “Euclid”. But I think that may be a pen name of some sort, as it means “Good Glory”.

Euclid is referred to as the “Father of Geometry”, and supposedly lived in 300 B.C., during the time of Ptolemy I (an Alexander the Great general who ruled Egypt). BUT, the only writings referring to a “Euclid” are Proclus and Pappus of Alexandria. Which are themselves questionable as to actual existence.

The whole Proclus and Pappus as sources point, is REALLY obfuscated.

The oldest reference I could find, which I think you could basically say is supposed to be Proclus mentioning Pappus mentioning Euclid (yeah, it’s that complex), is a translation by Federico Commandino, from 1589.



Cover of Pappus's *Mathematicae Collectiones*, translated by Federico Commandino (1589).

In this reference, Federico, is in turn, quoting Pseudo-Dionysius (alleged late 5th 6th century AD) quoting Proclus (pre-532 AD), re Euclid. Both of which are rather fictional as well, and I think Pappus (320 or so AD) is also.

Proclus is said to have “*set forth one of the most elaborate and fully developed systems of Neoplatonism. He stands near the end of the classical development of philosophy, and was very influential on Western Medieval Philosophy (Greek and Latin) as well as Islamic thought.*”

Ah. New-Platonism. Well, we know what that is. Updated Plato the “Pythagorean”.

Wikipedia:

Neoplatonism (also Neo-Platonism) is the modern term for a school of religious and mystical philosophy that took shape in the 3rd century AD, founded by Plotinus and based on the teachings of Plato and earlier Platonists. The term - neuplatonisch - was coined by a German historian. Neoplatonists would have considered themselves simply "Platonists".

Done right after NeoPythagoreanism:

Wikipedia

...Neopythagoreanism was a revival in the 2nd century BC—2nd century AD period, of various ideas traditionally associated with the followers of Pythagoras, the Pythagoreans. Notable Neopythagoreans include first century Apollonius of Tyana and Moderatus of Gades. Middle and Neo-Platonists such as Numenius and Plotinus also showed some Neopythagorean influence.

But, all of the above as told to us in MUCH later books.

Around and around we go.....

I think I better educate you on that a well known tactic of the jewish troublemakers is “*adopting the persona of an ancient figure was a long established rhetorical device (known as declamatio).*”

It's a forgery in other words, even though there are quite a few scholars who try to get around why this stuff is all backdated like it is, deliberate obfuscation. For example, read this explanation I found:

Such works, [declamatio] *therefore, are much less a forgery in the modern sense than an acknowledgement of reception and transmission, namely, a kind of coded recognition that the resonances of any sacred undertaking are intertextual, bringing the diachronic structures of time and space together in a synchronic way, and that this theological teaching, at least, is dialectically received from another. And such an author does not claim to be an innovator, but rather a communicator of a tradition.*

That's about the fanciest explanation for a liar I ever heard!

So...good ole Federico, in 1589, quotes *Pseudo-Dionysius*, who allegedly wrote something called *Corpus Aeropagiticum*, in 532, in turn quoting *Proclus*, quoting *Pappus*.

However, the oldest version of a *Pseudo-Dionysius* book I could find, is the *De caelesti hierarchia* a 14th century Greek manuscript found at Constantinople, page images at Oxford Digital Library from Oxford University's Magdalen College.

<http://image.ox.ac.uk/show?collection=magdalen&manuscript=msg2>

Supposedly, Severus of Antioch, in 519 wrote a work called *Adversus apologiam Juliani*, who cited the Fourth Letter, by *Pseudo-Dionysius*.

But here we are again, although Severus was a “very copius writer” we are told, only fragments are left, which themselves, are questionably identified as Severus, by William Cave (30 December 1637 – 4 July 1713) and Fabricius who is from the same basic time period as William Cave.

So, see what I mean? Main sources *for* these authors, are WAY down the line time-wise from such alleged authors.

So the main source, really, for Euclid as per Proclus and Pappus, is the Federico 1589 one.

Now in that book, Proclus introduces Euclid only briefly in his *Commentary on the Elements*, where he writes that Euclid was the author of the *Elements*, that he was mentioned by Archimedes, and that when Ptolemy the First asked Euclid if there was no shorter road to geometry than the *Elements*, he replied, “there is no royal road to geometry.” (which is a questionable allegory since it was already in use from another source, by a different person – in other words, it's being ascribed to Euclid erroneously).

Proclus and Pappus, in my opinion, are just updated versions of the alleged split of the Pythagoreans (hellenized jews), into the akousmatikoi (listeners), and the mathematikoi (learners). The akousmatikoi focused on the “religious and ritualistic aspects” (as given by the Hellenized Jews of course), the mathematikoi focused on “Math and Science.”

Proclus being the akousmatikoi, and Pappus being the mathematikoi. Which get basically mixed up together again in the 15 and 1600's.

Proclus is ghost-written as saying:
Wikipedia:

My commentary in plain text

The One (Greek: to Hen). It is the principle which produces all Being.

Scientology Factors, 1,the Cause

The particular characteristic of Proclus' system is his hierarchy of states of being called henads between the One itself and the divine Intellect, which is the second principle.

Scientology “levels of mind” and “tone scale” – Science of Survival

The principle which is produced below the level of the One and the Henads is the divine Intellect (Nous). The One itself does not think, but instead produces a divine mind, Intellect, whose thoughts are themselves the Forms. Intellect remains in the One, which means that it has the One as its origin. It proceeds from the One, which means that it comes to be as a separate entity. But it returns to the One, which means that it doesn't cut itself off from its source, but receives the good which is its identity from the One.

God

Soul (Psyche) is produced by Intellect, and so is the third principle in the Neoplatonic system. It is a mind, like Intellect, but it does not grasp all of its own content as one. Soul, in turn, produces Body, the material world.

God makes “Soul”

In his commentary on Plato's Timaeus Proclus explains the role the Soul as a principle has in mediating the Forms in Intellect to the body of the material world as a whole. The Soul is constructed through certain proportions, described mathematically in the Timaeus, which allow it to make Body as a divided image of its own arithmetical and geometrical ideas.

Soul is God's constructed creation.

Individual souls have the same overall structure as the principle of Soul, but they are weaker. They have a tendency to be fascinated with the material world, and be overpowered by it. It is at this point that individual souls are united with a material body (i.e. when they are born). Once in the body, our passions have a tendency to overwhelm our reason. According to Proclus, philosophy is the activity which can liberate the soul from a subjection to bodily passions, remind it of its origin in Soul, Intellect, and the One, and prepare it not only to ascend to the higher levels while still in this life, but to avoid falling immediately back into a new body after death.

The Soul, is now weaker than “Soul”. Oh boy.

Because the soul's attention, while inhabiting a body, is turned so far away from its origin in the intelligible world, Proclus thinks that we need to make use of bodily reminders of our spiritual origin. The powers of the gods (the henads) extend through their series of causation even down to the material world. And by certain power-laden words, acts, and objects, the soul can be drawn back up the series, so to speak.

Scientology – Clearing Course, OT II “implant series”, Power Processes, also Alistair Crowley's “Magick”, and “power-laden words”.

For Proclus philosophy is important, because it is one of the primary ways to rescue the soul from a fascination with the body, and restore it to its station. However, beyond its own station, the soul has Intellect as its goal, and ultimately has unification with the One as its goal. So higher than philosophy is the non-discursive reason of Intellect, and the pre-intellectual unity of the One. Philosophy is therefore a means of its own overcoming, in that it points the soul beyond itself.

Goal is...united with God. Same as Scientology – Science of Survival

Sheesh.

A bit more about “Pappus”

Wikipedia:

In the only other key reference to Euclid, Pappus briefly mentioned in the fourth century that Apollonius "spent a very long time with the pupils of Euclid at Alexandria, and it was thus that he acquired such a scientific habit of thought."

The Suda (a 10th century Byzantine Greek encyclopedia of known inaccuracy) states that Pappus was of the same age as Theon of Alexandria, who flourished in the reign of Emperor Theodosius I (372–395 AD).

Theon. As in “Max Theon”, the “oriental master” Madam Helena Blavatsky was going on about. **That’s** why that name was chosen. It was already one of these “Declamatio’s”

One attempted method of “dating” Pappus, was that in later writings where you’ve got this author quoting a pseudonym, quoting another pseudonym and so on and so on, an alleged real date comes from the dating of a solar eclipse supposedly mentioned by Pappus, in a commentary on the Almagest where *he calculates "the place and time of conjunction which gave rise to the eclipse in Tybi in 1068 after Nabonassar"*. This works out as October 18, 320 AD. This is then offered as “proof” that Pappus flourished c. 320 AD.

No, that’s just proof someone who is quoting so and so, quoting so and so, had access to records of an astronomical event, and used it in one of these later writings.

Sigh...(humor)

So now, back to the also alleged Euclid, who as it happens, is said to be quoting Pythagoras in the alleged *Pythagorean Theorem*.

It should be well understood first, that the date and place of Euclid's birth and the date and circumstances of his death are unknown, and only roughly estimated in proximity to contemporary figures mentioned in references (themselves questionable in existence at this point as well). Which the total lack of data could mean, that Euclid never existed and was yet another pseudonym invented as “Declamatio”. (see above) I mean simply taking that because somebody way down the line, does a series of quoting of quoting of quoting, as proof of existence - especially considering the penchant for outright bald-faced lying as to actual truth, history, etc. etc. of the jewish troublemakers - so...taking THEIR writers as the source? Is....questionable. In fact, I would venture to say, that this entire “history” of “greek thinkers”, is a complicated fictional creation, that is intentionally created complete with cast of characters, dates, alleged writings etc. etc., which is used as “sources”. An entirely false history here, in other words. Like Tolkien’s involved fictional world, complete with language, biographies, history etc., of “*The Lord of the Rings*”.

Ok, now here’s the deal on what remains that mentions Euclid:

Wikipedia says that Euclids works:

*His **Elements** is one of the most influential works in the history of mathematics, serving as the main textbook for teaching mathematics (especially geometry) from the time of its publication until the late 19th or early 20th century. In it, the principles of what is now called Euclidean geometry were deduced from a small set of axioms. Euclid also wrote works on perspective, conic sections, spherical geometry, number theory and rigor.*



One of the oldest surviving fragments of Euclid's *Elements*, found at Oxyrhynchus and dated to circa AD 100. The diagram accompanies Book II, Proposition 5.

Note that it is only a fragment.

The versions of the elements covered in the 15 and 1600's, were backdated to Theon by: *The Suda* (a 10th century Byzantine Greek encyclopedia of known inaccuracy) states that Pappus was of the same age as Theon of Alexandria, who flourished in the reign of Emperor Theodosius I (372–395 AD).

That's also a source backdating Pappus as well.

However, François Peyrard, in 1808 “discovered” at the Vatican, a manuscript not derived from Theon's.

Imagine that.

This manuscript, called the Heiberg manuscript, is from a Byzantine workshop c. 900 C.E. and is the basis of modern editions. To me that means someone decided to “update” things in the 1800's for the masses, hence the “discovery”. Like the Vatican didn't know they had it...(joke)

Allegedly, the Arabs received the Elements from the Byzantines in approximately 760; this version, by a pupil of Euclid called Proclo.

Proclo? Oh look, another pseudonym awfully similar to Proclus!

It was allegedly translated into Arabic under Harun al Rashid circa 800 AD.

Although known in Byzantium, *the Elements was lost to Western Europe until ca. 1120*, when the English monk Adelard of Bath translated it into Latin from an Arabic translation.

Yeah, “lost” in the Vatican. That's probably where the real guy they *call* “Pythagoras” writings are too. If they haven't deliberately destroyed them, which is entirely possible.

So then Giovanni Campano does a 1260 edition. (which I'm sure is also changed somewhat again), and then the first printed edition appeared in 1482 based on his work. Which means more changes entered in here.

Since then, changed again into many languages.

By the way, “Theon's” Greek edition was recovered in 1533.

Wikipedia:

In 1570, John Dee provided a widely respected "Mathematical Preface", along with copious notes and supplementary material, to the first English edition by Henry Billingsley.

Sure, “Theon’s” from 372 AD. I would say that’s “Theon” as per some other pseudonym happy writer, is more like it. Shows up 1200 years later...hmmm.

Wikipedia:

Copies of the Greek text still exist, some of which can be found in the Vatican Library and the Bodleian Library in Oxford. The manuscripts available are of variable quality, and invariably incomplete.

Of course they are incomplete. What else would we expect. (humorously speaking)

Good lord...what a MESS that all was. So...what’s the Pythagorean Theorem? I bet you thought I forgot where we started...no, really, I didn’t!

It is:


a relation in Euclidean geometry among the three sides of a right triangle (right-angled triangle in British English). It states:

In any right triangle, the area of the square whose side is the hypotenuse (the side opposite the right angle) is equal to the sum of the areas of the squares whose sides are the two legs (the two sides that meet at a right angle).

The theorem can be written as an equation:

$$a^2 + b^2 = c^2$$

where c represents the length of the hypotenuse, and a and b represent the lengths of the other two sides.



The Pythagorean theorem: The sum of the areas of the two squares on the legs (a and b) equals the area of the square on the hypotenuse (c).

*The Pythagorean theorem is named after the Greek mathematician Pythagoras, **who by tradition** is credited with its discovery and proof.*

“by tradition”...that’s quite the euphemism there!

Note that at the same time as we get the “translation” of greek works into Latin, 1100, we also get told that the Chinese “know pythagoras”, attributed to a Tschou-Gun who lived in 1100 BC.

Sho-gun? Give me a break, these guys and their dang invented names. How tongue-in-cheek that is. I’ll bet that this allegation, doesn’t actually show up until MUCH later in time than this, and is from another “Pythagorean” writer. After all, one shouldn’t forget that even now, we don’t have much access to Chinese history *directly*.

It is of interest to note, that the actual teaching of this mathematics occurred MUCH earlier. A clay tablet of Babylonian origin was found with the following inscription: "4 is the length and 5 the diagonal. What is the breadth?"

So why is it called the Pythagorean Theorem? Because the “brothers of light” wanted to ascribe the teaching of it *onto themselves*, instead of who **really** taught it, which they like to black propaganda as “the brothers of darkness”.

Book: *The invention of autonomy: a history of modern moral philosophy* By Jerome B. Schneewind - 1998

This book asks the question: “Was Pythagoras a Jew?,” and gives that the question is asked from “*the assumption that the biblical narrative provides the **unquestionable** framework within which all human history must be located.*” [emphasis mine]

This book also says “*it was long common to assume that all wisdom comes from God.*”, which is not true at all. It is not **common** that any being would just **naturally** ascribe everything to some all-powerful force outside of them. However, the statement “all wisdom comes from God” **is common**, and that’s because it has been pushed so hard in all cultures. The book then discusses that historians have to explain this common assumption’s presence and how it got into cultures that are “*not directly descended from the Jews*”. Which simply means certain “Jews” wanted to hide that they were “jewish” and that they were yet again, propagating the same old BS in other cultures.

The book quotes the 18th century author William Law, who gives us where this “Noah’s Laws” idea of Mendelssohn’s is coming from. [emphasis mine]

*“When Noah’s Family came out of the Ark, we presume, they were as well educated in the Principles of Virtue and moral Wisdom, as any people were ever since; **There was therefore a Time, when all the People in the World were well versed in moral Virtue...**”*

Yes, there was a time, but this was most certainly not because of “Noah” and “God”.

*“He therefore that gives a **later** account of the Origin of moral Virtue, gives a **false** account of it.”*

That’s quite the Byzantine twist there. Since every one of the so-called “great thinkers” (whether publically jewish or not), first of all insist on altering the truth of how beings operated **before** the “I am God and you are my chosen” game began. All these thinkers, and their version of events, **themselves** came later than this “*Time, when all the People in the World were well versed in moral Virtue*”. See? Byzantine. Although highly entertaining, once you understand the mental contortions being demonstrated here. They do this, by one way or another, insisting on a “common assumption” of “worshipping God” as if it’s an “of course” truth that there is

only **one** truly divine being, and that you and I, are only his *creations in his image*.

The author of the book says that Noachite revelation was the “origin” of moral knowledge.

Ok, so that’s who started this BS then? (inside joke)

The author continues and says that this revelation of Noah, was because we were “sinful” and that our nature was “damaged” by “the fall”.

“Our nature was damaged by the Fall. It not only dimmed our faculties, lessening our ability to understand God’s commands and accept them. It also unleashed the passions.”

Yeah, there was damage alright, but that was from “falling” **into** agreement with such troublemakers lies as being truth. Our nature, can never be “*damaged*”, only the created reality of *damage* can be made, and then **that**, only by us ourselves.

The people who these troublemakers consistently portray as a singular “serpent”, as in “*the serpent in the Garden of Eden*”, told the truth about who beings are, and their capabilities.

The alleged Noah, and who he “talked to”, did **not**.

Next, in a PERFECT description of the people that push “God” on us, and everything else that goes underneath that, the author regurgitates the black propaganda against those that told the truth, when instead, the author should have held up a mirror and looked at himself.

*“Evildoers, driven by their lusts, seek to avoid the pangs of conscience, so they blind themselves to its clear dictates. They also strive to veil and confuse the moral thoughts of those whom they wish to entangle in their wicked schemes. **Bad reasoning is one of their basic tools.**”*

The author then follows with a perfect example of the **use** of the tool of “*bad reasoning*”, in the next sentence:

“Now reason is one of God’s gifts to humanity.”

It darkly amuses me, that after spending a paragraph doing a pretty accurate description of the real troublemakers, the author thinks that by gaining the readers correct observation that this does indeed describe these troublemakers well, that that automatically means we will then accept a blatant lie because he has gained our agreement on the **previous** point.

That is certainly, also an excellent example of the author striving “*to veil and confuse..... the thoughts of..... those whom they wish to entangle in their wicked schemes.*”

Which is anyone who reads his book he hopes...

Wrong.

I, and my friends, truly love destroying the illusive “strength” that such troublemakers delude themselves about such tactics having. Consider it a crash course for them and their followers, in that **nothing** they create, is better than a being and his abilities. **A being misses nothing**. Ah...but what he **does** with this knowledge he doesn’t miss, in our current *society*? That is a whole different matter. (Note: *society* being a sarcastic reference

to the “systems of right living” designed by the troublemakers, which is at best, a complete oxymoron)

Here’s another good one to see, troublemaker tactic-wise:

“Since the causes of the misuse of reason and of bad philosophy are now ingrained in our nature, there will be no final triumph of good philosophy until after the last judgement.”

Is that so...someone is practically gloating here. “*ingrained in our nature*”? He means the being that makes the body. Oh, so they think that these habits they have ingrained by pain and loss, can’t be changed do they....well, I’d have to say that that’s pure wishful thinking on their part. It is **not** an *unchangeable* fact without “God’s” intervention of “judgement day”, as the author tries to present it.

The author writes that Aristotle is who says, in *Magna Moralia*, that Pythagoras was the first who attempted to treat of virtue.

The entire veracity of this statement, rests on that we are told by such authors as this book, that “*Aristotle’s standing as the first historian of ancient thought*” makes him a reliable source as to Pythagoras. And he is given this standing by whom? Oh that’s right, the usually jewish troublemakers!

In 1603, Scipion Dupleix, in his *L’ethique ou philosophie morale*, says that “*it is certain that Pythagoras himself, whom the Greeks took for a philosopher of their nation (although St. Iranaeus assures us that he was Hebrew and had read the books of Moses) had worthily treated of morality*” before Socrates did.”

Ficino, thought he recalled that St. Ambrose “showed that Pythagoras was born of a Jewish father”, and that there were others.

Both of the above singled out references by the author, are from Heninger, who in a 1974 book, lists half a dozen studies from the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries that contain bibliographies on “Pythagoras’s debt to Moses.”

John Seldon, who in 1640, allegedly traced “our grasp of natural law” back to the Noachite commandments, devoted long pages of his *De Jure Naturali et Gentium*, to analyzing the Jewish influence on Pythagoras. He surmises that it was “quite possible” that Pythagoras was taught by no less than the prophet Ezekiel. And that “*no one doubts that in Platonic as well as Pythagorean doctrine there are teachings derived from the Hebrews.*”

“**no one doubts**”?

Or did he mean anyone who *does* is not allowed to be propagated in the “histories” and books we are given, (as controlled by the troublemakers)...

Yep, that’s what he meant.

Henry More in *Encheiridion* (1667):

Now that Pythagoras drew his knowledge from the Hebrew fountains, is what all writers, sacred and prophane, do testify and aver. That Plato took from the principal part of that knowledge, touching God, the soul’s immortality and the conduct of life and good manners, has been doubted by no man. And that it went from him, into the schools of Aristotle, and so derived and diffused almost into the whole world, is in like manner attested by all.

More tactics, circa 1600's ie: "*all writers do testify*"...yeah? Except Pythagoras that is, who allegedly "didn't write anything down".

And this part:

That Plato took from the principal part of that knowledge, touching God, the soul's immortality and the conduct of life and good manners, has been doubted by no man.

Yes, **but....** that "*principal part of that knowledge*" was as written by jewish troublemakers much later, so therefore you can see the tactic now inherent in "*That Plato took from the principal part of that knowledge*". **That** knowledge indeed. Which of course, was twisted from the original, into the "**bad reasoning**" substitute the troublemakers prefer their slaves to have.

Re: Marsilio Ficino:

Hermeticism was reintroduced to the West in 1460 AD, when a man named Leonardo brought the Corpus Hermeticum to Cosimo de Medici, a wealthy Italian banker. ²

"Hermeticism" is just a play on the alleged "Hermes", a Greek figure.

What it really is, is Pythagoreanism, and hence Judaism, re-issued as "Hermeticism"

The so-called "Corpus Hermeticum" that was brought to Cosimo de Medici, it is alleged was "A collection of several such Greek texts from the second and third centuries."

From the above we just studied, "alleged" is a good word to describe such fiction.

Even back at the time period, it's language gave away that it was indeed not "ancient knowledge" at all. The classical scholar Isaac Casaubon studied the vocabulary of the texts, and in 1614 showed that some of the texts, mainly those dealing with philosophy, betrayed a vocabulary too recent to be so old.

Cosimo had Marsilio Ficino write the Corpus Hermeticum in Latin. Ficino's manuscript consisted of fourteen tractates, each one was a little booklet. Other manuscript traditions, than Ficino's, contained four more tractates appended at the end.

"If then you do not make yourself equal to God, you cannot apprehend God; for like is known to like. Leap clear of all that is corporeal, and make yourself grow to a like expanse with that greatness which is beyond all measure; rise above all time, and become eternal; then you will apprehend God. Think that for you too nothing is impossible; deem that you too are immortal, and that you are able to grasp all things in your thought, to know every craft and every science; find your home in the haunts of every living creature; make yourself higher than all heights, and lower than all depths; bring together in yourself all opposites of quality, heat and cold, dryness and fluidity; think that you are everywhere at once, on land, at sea, in heaven; think that you are not yet begotten, that you are in the womb, that you are young, that you are old, that you have died, that you are in the world beyond the grave;' grasp in your thought all this at once, all times and places, all substances and qualities and magnitudes together; then you can apprehend God." ³

[www. personal.psu.edu](http://www.personal.psu.edu)³

Ficino subscribed to the notion that there was hope for world renovation, **which would occur through art, science and technology**. This "new hope" was his new religion, the infusion of Platonic-Hermetic thought

within Christianity, which was creating a manifesto for a new Christian Church. He declared that religion's basis had to be philosophy and believed that Plato should be read in the churches.

Such integration would not go smoothly. For one, Ficino considered the human soul to be both immortal and divine, made in the image of God, which was a contradiction of the Bible that claimed that the soul was not naturally immortal and could only become so through Christianity.

Later, Martin Luther actually believed that the author of the Corpus had merely copied the writings of John the Evangelist.

By the way, the Prologue of John's Gospel, sounds more like Philo and his Logos, ie: "The Word was with God and The Word was God".

Ficino was playing a dangerous game. What inspired him to live so dangerously? Ficino realised that Christianity was a variation – an interpretation – of a group of followers of the Corpus Hermeticum, specifically the cult of Serapis. His "new religion" was nothing more than restoring Christianity to its roots, he felt.

Serapis was a wisdom cult, which means it must have had a body of literature. But what was that body of literature?

Ficino then alleges that it was the Corpus Hermeticum, (which he wrote), and that it was the "true bible".

Ficino was trying to return Christianity to its true roots allegedly. Coincidentally, this meant that he would have to do away with most of Christianity. Wow, no tie-in there to Judaism's view of Christianity.

Of course, that makes perfect sense, since the whole "Corpus Hermeticum" idea is a Jewish invention.

You have to remember that the Medici family (Jewish bankers/merchants) was trying to undermine the Catholic Church, and in fact, arranged that one of their family even became Pope and then continued with several more after that.

The Florentine Academy (Ficino) invented rituals of the Serapis cult. The fictional writings of the Roman author Apuleius were cherished reading for the Platonic Academy. Of course, they weren't told they were fictional. I'd like to remind you of the alleged *akousmatikoi* (listeners), which focused on the "religious and ritualistic aspects", so-called Pythagoreans, of which the story of is **also** presented during this same time period. Quite the coincidence, wouldn't you say?

Under the pen name "Apuleius", many detailed accounts of the Mysteries of Isis and Osiris were described. A description of how, in the procession of the Initiates of Isis, they followed a chest or ark, magnificently ornamented, containing an image of the organs of generation (the phallus) of the dead Osiris. These ceremonies represented the death of Osiris, slain by his brother Seth. Ficino's translations of Apuleius therefore gave the Academy detailed accounts of the rituals of the Serapis cult.

There's an interesting way of getting people to believe the story of the High Priest Aaron and the ark, (Jewish Bible), now isn't it? Quite the imaginative fiction of a fiction.

Ficino claimed that Priests of Serapis were magicians, and that that Jesus was a magician.

We are told over and over in many books etc., about how this completely fictional invention, complete with rituals, biographies, histories etc., as taught in Ficino's Academy in Florence, brought about the *Renaissance*, the *transformation of Europe*, and the *birth of science*.

How so, when most people didn't read Latin?? One might ask.

It has been said that “*With the translation of the Corpus Hermeticum, they had the necessary material in hand to discover what society original Christianity wanted to establish.*”

Original Christianity? As per Ficino's Corpus Hermeticum? No. For one reason, there never **was** any “*Christianity*” or any other named religion by Yeshua, for there to be an “original” **of!**

In 1492, Ficino wrote that an “Age of Gold” was upon Mankind. ***The first sign would be the revival of arts***, which had been turned into a liberating technique to liberate Man's “God Potential”, the divine spark that was in each of us. Liberate us into thinking we are a piece of God? Some liberation. And...1492? Columbus discovers the NEW WORLD! Yikes.

And...Golden Age...just like David Miscavige and his “Golden Age of Technology”.

Same ol, same ol. Are the troublemakers that incapable of coming up with anything new? Originality is obviously not their strong suit, we just get given re-writes of re-writes, every 30 years or so.

As I said, the Medicis were trying to undermine the Catholic Church. The Academy, through Ficino, and thus by Medici through financial support, became the breeding ground of a conspiracy to try and overthrow the Catholic Church. It was a place to attract the “*best and the brightest*”, just like Scientology was designed to do.

A young priest trained in occult knowledge, Giovanni Pico della Mirandola, arrived in Florence on the day when Ficino had completed the “translations of Plato's writings.”

Ficino and other members of the Platonic Academy pushed Pico to formulate a compendium of nine hundred propositions – a manifesto. It was what the Medici-group wanted to use to attack the “outdated dogma” of the Church.



Ficino: The high priest of the Renaissance

The attempt failed, Pope Innocent VIII placed Pico's writings on the Papal Index, threatening Pico with expulsion.

Note how the troublemakers primarily get someone else to do their "dirty work", and then they are the "fall guy".

So, now Lorenzo de Medici begins the infiltration part of his plan, and engineered the promotion of one of his sons to the position of Cardinal.

Sources:

Wikipedia Encyclopedia1

philipcoppens.com/ficino2

Note that this is where umanisti and umanista come into play, as the Ficino/Medici plan. It refers to what later 19th century "scholars" (it means the jewish troublemakers are doing another re-write) call the "Renaissance", and it's emphasis on "classical studies", as being *humanismus*.

Classical? I think not. But the term umanista, was brought into being by Ficino and his "Platonic Academy", with it's studies of:

grammar, poetry, rhetoric, history, and hermeticism (posing as "moral" philosophy).

From the Encyclopedia Britannica, you can see the updated propaganda campaign described:

The term "humanism" is ambiguous. Around 1806 humanismus was used to describe the classical curriculum offered by German schools, and by 1836 "humanism" was borrowed into English in this sense. In 1856, the great German historian and philologist Georg Voigt used humanism to describe Renaissance Humanism, the movement that flourished in the Italian Renaissance to revive classical learning, a use which won wide acceptance among historians in many nations, especially Italy.[11] This historical and literary use of the word "humanist" derives from the 15th century Italian term umanista, meaning a teacher or scholar of

Classical Greek and Latin literature and the ethical philosophy behind it.

This part:

a use which won wide acceptance among historians in many nations, especially Italy.

It won “wide acceptance”? I don’t think you can truthfully call a patsy agreeing with a patsy, agreeing with another patsy.... true “acceptance”,

Just prior to Ficino:

*Boccaccio in a letter to Jacopo Pizzinga, 1372, described **Francesco Petrarca**: (who many use as the “father of humanism” in the european renaissance):*

“He has opened the road for himself and for those who want to ascend after him.”

So, here we are with yet another jewish “enlightened one” reference to a “road” to ascend...of course, going towards the **light**.



Petrarch

Further back story leading up to Ficino:

1420 – approx - George Scholarios (later became Gennadius II Patriarch of Constantinople) accused Pletho of heresy (in defense of Aristotle). Emperor Manuel II Palaeologos confined Pletho in Mistra.

Mistra - Byzantine City on Mt. Tagetos near the city of Sparta

Georgus Gemistus Pletho 1355-1452

Byzantine Scholar and Philosopher born in Constantinople. He represented the Orthodox Eastern Church at the Council of Florence in 1439, led Cosimo de Medici to found the Florentine academy, and inspired the enthusiastic study of Plato that characterized the Italian Renaissance in his "Laws" he advocated a polytheism similar to that of the Ancient Greeks. He rejected Aristotles criticisms of Plato but did not always distinguish Plato's doctrine from the Neoplatonic.

Source: Our Encyclopedia

George Gemistius Plethon was forced to migrate West, to Mistra, and set up a Platonic School there.

http://www.antiquillium.org/texts/bg/Rose_Croix/Cherchez.htm

1425 approx - Pletho set up a school approx 1425 approx (Emperor that confined him died in 1425) – re-introduced Plato which was really just a regurgitation of the previous invention as per above dissection of plato works, it is alleged that he “shook the exclusive domination of Aristotleon grip on Western

European thought for 8 centuries”....his works get called “Humanism” by 19th century German “scholars”.

Bessarion 1395 - approx to 1472 is a student of his.

His successor, [Pletho] Johannes Bessarion, came to Florence, at the same time as the Hermetica, and the rest, they say, is history.

From Bessarion came both Cosimo de Medici and Marsilio Ficino, and from Ficino et al., Pico della Mirandola, Johannes Reuchlin, Johann Trithemius, Heinrich Cornelius Agrippa, and the rest. The Tubingen Group partially listed here, formed one of the earliest pockets of what would become known later as the Rosicrucians.

http://www.antiquillum.org/texts/bg/Rose_Croix/Cherchez.htm

Arrives at the same time as the Hermetica to Ficino? Imagine that, humorously speaking.

Bessarion, comes right after Petrarch, favored ending the schism between East and West, and when the Orthodox Church refused, he joined the Roman Catholic Church. Made a cardinal in 1439, and in 1463 the Pope made him patriarch of Constantinople. He had a fine collection of Greek manuscripts, which ended up in St. Marks library in Venice.

Source: Our Encyclopedia

As you can see, this whole operation developing here is the forerunner of the later updated operation that would be called “The Rose Cross”, and later the Scottish Rite of Freemasonry. The whole Jewish Ficino/Medici “*Corpus Hermeticum*”, comes straight on through to the ***The Fraternity of the Asiatic Brethren, (aka Fratres Lucis, Brothers of Light)*** as of 1785, was influenced by the Qabilistic School of Shabbatai Zevi. The Fratres Lucis then show up again in the ***later L’Aurore Naissante [the RISING SUN]*** a Jewish lodge, in Frankfurt-Am-Main, of 1807, authorized in 1808 by the Grand Orient in Paris. Note the “Orient” part, as that is the traditional Jewish way of referring to themselves, as per excerpt from the B’nai B’rith *Menorah* article covered above: ‘*Ex oriente lux. From the East rises the golden light of the sun*’.

L’Aurore Naissante and the Grand Orient were both ‘*Scottish Rite of Freemasonry*’ organizations, also known as “Rosicrucians”. The same year as L’Aurore Naissante was founded, the *the Supreme Council of Sovereign Grand Inspectors-General of the Thirty-Third and Last Degree* was formed in New York, under the aegis of the Grand Orient of France. This was on October 28, 1807. Joseph Cerneau of the Grand Orient, is who formed the one in New York, a 1914 book has him as “M.I. Joseph Cerneau, thirty-third degree.” The choice of the name L’Aurore Naissante, goes back to Jacob Boehme, *In the Dawn being born* (Aurora consurgens - 1612), translated Title above by Louis Claude de Saint Martin's day. Solomon Rothschild of the Rothschild family, joined L’Aurore Naissante some time between 1807 and 1816.

Things then moved straight on down to the forming of the B’nai B’rith in 1843, of which is said:

...When the constellation of the Jewish nation had gone down, then arose from the ruins, out of the Western horizon, from America's new world, a new star for all our co-religionists, then sprang into being the Order B'ne B'rith.

This went straight on down into the forming of Temple Emanu-El, the New York Ethical Culture Society and it's “*humanism*”, which is an obvious throw-back to the Jewish op of Ficino and Petrarch and their *umanista*:

*“Dr. Adler preaches what is known in Germany as ‘Humanism,’” reported the New York DAILY GRAPHIC on January 22, 1877. **With that, the word “Humanism” came to America.***

<http://www.hfsd.info/whatishumanism/firsthumanistinamerica.html>

This all moves straight on through to the formation of Scientology and Dianetics, by L. Ron Hubbard as yet another “front man” recruiting for the “enlightened masters”....with it’s preaching of the exact same basic doctrines of all the above.

The **Qabalah**, is also a **sideshoot** of the “Pythagoreans” as taught by the Plato invention, and it’s fictional split into two schools allegedly, the akousmatikoi (listeners), and the mathematikoi (learners). The first focused on the “religious and ritualistic aspects” (as given by the Hellenized Jews of course), the second focused on “Math and Science”. Meaning that the Qabalah is using “mystical” meanings of numbers etc. All of which is pulled straight from the Agadah, of the Hebrew *Talmud*.

THE MENORAH, A MONTHLY MAGAZINE, Official Organ of The Independent Order B'ne B'rith, EDITED BY M. ELLINGER, Vol XXII, JANUARY, 1897, TO JUNE, 1897 -

Article titled: FROM THE PALESTINIAN ACADEMIES, by [Editor] M. Ellinger

*...The Jews themselves, or rather the overwhelming majority of them who dwell in civilized countries, **are as ignorant of the Talmud, of what it teaches, of the doctrines discussed therein, as the mass of Christians are of the discussions in the books of the Casuists and of the Fathers of the Church.***

...As is well known, two currents ebb and flow through the Talmudic ocean: Halachah and Agadah. The first deals only with positive law, determining the life of the Jew in home and society, the ceremonies that are to be observed and the practices he is to follow in commerce and trade, in the administration of justice whenever autonomy is conceded to him, as well as his intercourse with his co-religionists or his fellow-beings of other belief. The Agadah takes in the entire range of human conception within the purview of poetical imagery, metaphysical speculation, maxims of conduct, with derivative reference to the Biblical text upon which human knowledge and wisdom rests as upon the Divine rock. The Agadah was the ancient Jewish sermon replete with allegory, symbols, verbal emblematics, parables and poetical imagery such as the Orient luxuriates in.

*...A great part of the Agadah is taken up with interpretations of the Biblical text, which is treated according to the plain meaning of the language, or psychically and spiritually. **A hidden and mystic meaning is attributed not only to the words of Scripture but to each letter, and the Agadists had in this esoteric treatment a host of Christian followers, such as Origines, Boehme, Swedenborg, Kaspar, Schwenckfeld and others.** Wreaths of exquisite legendary traditions are woven around the lives and history of Biblical personages, such as Noah, the Patriarchs, Joseph, Moses, Saul, David and Solomon. Nor are the Biblical events such as the story of the creation, the dwelling and expulsion of Adam and Eve from Paradise, the flood, the exodus of Israel from Egypt, left unadorned. Poetic imagery has its full swing and the threads are woven as delicately and charmingly as Oriental fantasy can spin.*

...The object of the Agadah is to appeal to human nature...

That last bit is a lovely euphemism, what it is saying in other words, is that the Agadah is used as a religious recruitment tool of Judaism. People that do such, just don’t bother to inform the would be “listeners” that they are being fed a line of jewish propaganda to convert them to “the way”.

At the time of Ficino, (and forward from there) there appears to be two antagonist to each other groups within the broad group I call the “jewish troublemakers” showing at this point, I wonder that the following might characterize that well:

Abstract:

1439 – Ashkenaz Jews ...hardly any [see 2000] - also remember Ashkenaz is a name of son of Gomer, son of Japheth...who were NOT JEWS....ashkenazi are restricted from marrying Sephardim, but yet both Sephardic and Ashkenaz Cohanim share a common gene....and the Ashkenazi LEVIITES share a rare gene AND have a recent ancestor...so this is the “fight” perhaps...*Sephardic/Ashkenaz Cohanim versus Ashkenazi LEVIITES.*

During the past 500 years, there has been rapid population growth, culminating in an estimated population size of ~8 million Ashkenazi Jews just prior to the outbreak of World War II.

...

It is thought that, prior to the middle of the 20th century, gene flow between the Ashkenazi and non-Ashkenazi groups was relatively restricted.

<http://www.shtetlinks.jewishgen.org/Kolomea/nameorigin.htm>

....

In fact, previous studies have indeed shown that the *NRYS of Ashkenazi and Sephardi Cohanim are genetically more closely related to each other* than they are to the NRYS of Israelites or non-Jews (Skorecki et al. 1997; Thomas et al. 1998). This pattern arises primarily from differences in the frequency of a particular NRY haplotype (the Cohen Modal Haplotype [CMH], defined by six rapidly mutating microsatellites [Thomas et al. 1998]), and a cluster of closely related haplotypes within a single haplogroup (defined by slowly mutating unique event polymorphisms [UEPs]). Chromosomes belonging to this haplotype and its related cluster were found at high frequency among Cohanim but at a much lower frequency among Israelites. Furthermore, the pattern of diversity within the cluster was found to be consistent with *descent from a common ancestor who lived between 2,100 and 3,900 years ago*. The CMH is also found, at lower frequency, in non-Jewish populations in the Near East, which would be consistent with its origin in this geographic region. However, the same studies found high frequencies of multiple haplogroups in *the Levites, indicating that no single recent origin could be inferred for the majority of this group, despite an oral tradition of a patrilineal descent similar to that of the Cohanim*. Moreover, *a cluster of closely related NRY haplotypes was identified within a distinctive deep-rooting NRY clade that was found at much higher frequency among Ashkenazi Levites* than in either Sephardi Levites or any other Jewish group. However, the reasons for this difference in the Ashkenazi Levites were not explored.

Given the importance of the paternally defined Levite caste in Jewish history and tradition, the multiple theories of the ethnogenesis of the Ashkenazi Jewish community, and a suggestion that Yiddish is a relexified Slavic tongue (Wexler 1993), we undertook a detailed investigation of the paternal genetic history of Ashkenazi Levites and compared the results with matching data from neighboring populations among which the Ashkenazi community lived during its formation and subsequent demographic expansion.

...from Ashkenazi males who identified themselves as Levites, with a paternal ancestry from one of the following nine Ashkenazi Jewish communities;

Austria-Hungary (10), Belarus (4), France (6), Germany (10), Lithuania (8), Netherlands (5), Poland (7), Romania (4), and Russia (6). Current political borders (including the current borders of Austria and Hungary) were used to define geographic origin.

....

Our study confirms the previously reported finding of a caste-specific high-frequency haplogroup within the Ashkenazi Levites (Thomas et al. 1998). The presence of this haplogroup, R1a1, within Ashkenazi Levites is striking for several reasons. Firstly, it is found at high frequency in the Ashkenazi Levites but not in Sephardi Levites or any other Jewish grouping examined so far.

This means that in paternal ancestry Ashkenazi and Sephardi Levites are genetically dissimilar, unlike Ashkenazi and Sephardi Cohanim (fig. 1). The Ashkenazi and Sephardi Israelites are also relatively similar to

each other, which is consistent with the previous reports of shared overall paternal Near Eastern ancestries for these populations (Hammer et al. 2000; Nebel et al. 2000).

Secondly, the microsatellite haplotypes within this haplogroup form a tight cluster within the *Ashkenazi Levites, indicative of very recent origin from a single common ancestor*. Thirdly, the haplogroup is *extremely rare in other Jewish groups and in non-Jewish groups of Near Eastern origin*, but is *found at high frequency in populations of eastern European origin*. This contrasts with....

....

<http://www.shtetlinks.jewishgen.org/Kolomea/nameorigin.htm>

Genesis, the third son of Jacob and Leah, and the founder of the Israelite Tribe of Levi (the levites); however Biblical scholars view this as postdiction, an eponymous metaphor providing an aetiology of the connectedness of the tribe to others in the Israelite confederation[1]. Certain religious and political functions were reserved for the Levites, and, according to textual scholars, the early sources of the Torah - the Jahwist and Elohist - appear to treat the term Levi as just being a word meaning priest; ***scholars suspect that "levi" was originally a general term for a priest, and had no connection to ancestry***, and that it was only later, for example in the priestly source and Blessing of Moses, that the existence of a tribe named Levi became assumed, in order to explain the origin of the priestly caste[2][3].

The text of the Torah argues that the name of Levi refers to Leah's hope for Jacob to join with her, implying a derivation from yillaweh, meaning he will join, but Biblical scholars have proposed quite different origins of the name[4]. Many scholars suspect that it simply means priest, either by being a loan word originating from the Minaean word lawi'u, meaning priest, or by referring to those people who were joined to the ark of the covenant[5]. ***Some scholars believe that the Levites were not originally Israelite, instead originating as migrants, and consequently consider the name to refer to the Levites joining with either the Israelites in general, or the earlier Israelite priesthood in particular***[6]. It has also been suggested that the term Levi may just be a corruption of the name Leah (or vice versa), or cognate with the word leviathan, whose exact translation remains highly debated[7].

<http://www.awitness.org/contrabib/torah/gen.html>

Well, that was interesting.

Now, let's get further into what we are told *Pythagoras* taught, and see if there is anything left of what the "brothers of darkness" taught, that the "brothers of light" stole, twisted up, copied and generally kept for themselves and gave the rest of mankind....unworkable CRAP.

...Pythagoras also headed a cult known as the secret brotherhood that worshiped numbers and numerical relationships. They attempted to find mathematical explanations for music, the gods, the cosmos, etc. Pythagoras believed that all relations could be reduced to number relations.

<http://www.arcytech.org/java/pythagoras/history.html>

OK, so, it's the *Agadah* again.

Thales of Miletus (now in Turkey), 624-527 B.C., and Pythagoras (569-475 B.C.) from Samos, Ionia (now Greece) Both individuals have been draped in mystery with respect to the true nature of their achievements but both have been credited, by later historians in third hand accounts, with various theorems attributable to them.

Thales (as per Plutarch – see above re: him) “without trouble or the assistance of any instrument merely set up

a stick at the extremity of the shadow cast by the pyramid and having thus made two triangles by the impact of the sun's rays ... showed that the pyramid has to the stick the same ratio which the shadow [of the pyramid] has to the shadow [of the stick].”

Pythagoras is alleged to be a contemporary of Buddha, Confucius and Lao-Tze. Of course. Since almost all of them are also fictionalized in one way or another.

Source for some of the above:

http://www.fig.net/pub/athens/papers/wshs2/WSHS2_2_Brock.pdf

Then we also have the fictionalized Herodotus (c. 484-430/420 B.C.) from Halicarnassus (now Bodrum, Turkey), who allegedly gives a history of the world til that point. He was Jewish. But then again, the only surviving writing mentioning him, is from around the same “Renaissance” period as Petrarch, Ficino, etc.

Pythagoras is credited in late writings with inventing the term philosophy, Sophy/Sophia, being Greek for wisdom, and Philo, being Greek for friend.

- ... - Metaphysics of the number and the idea that the cosmos is made up of, and comes from numbers.
- Afterlife, and the idea of transmigration of the soul (Pythagoras claimed to remember his past lives)
- The use of philosophy as a path to spiritual purity
- Harmony and unity of the cosmos

<http://students.roanoke.edu/groups/relg211/johnson/Pythagoras.htm>

Kenneth Sylvan Guthrie's edition of the Complete Pythagoras has an intro where Guthrie explains at the beginning of the second book (p 168), he was initially prompted to publish these writings in the 1920's for *fear that this information would become lost*.

Yeah. Well. More like time to “re-introduce” it again.

The Complete Pythagoras is a compilation of two books. The first is entitled The Life Of Pythagoras and contains the four biographies of Pythagoras that have survived from antiquity: that of Iamblichus (280-333 A.D.), Porphyry (233-306 A.D.), Photius (ca 820- ca 891 A.D.) and Diogenes Laertius (180 A.D.).

Here we go again, as per...a much LATER quote of a quote of a quote, and so on.

The person's website where these books are presented says:

The second is entitled Pythagorean Library and is a complete collection of the surviving fragments from the Pythagoreans. The first book was published in 1920, the second a year later, and released together as a bound edition. The bound edition was produced inexpensively as a mimeographed hand-typed manuscript that was rolled-off onto cheap stock. Consequently, only a handful of copies of what must have been a very small edition are extant and were found to be highly deteriorated. Two copies were referenced for this edition.

There has been no attempt on my part to modernize Guthrie's original edition but rather to reproduce a facsimile. The reason for this is two-fold: First, to add another voice (an uninformed one at that, since I am not a classicist) would have distanced the reader yet further from the original. Second, while Guthrie's translation may at times seem archaic and convoluted, as his English dates from the late 19th Century, it nevertheless seems to hug the original Greek texts best. It may best be understood as a transliteration, as opposed to a

translation. It can therefore be used as another source to compare to modern editions.

The alleged biography by “Iamblichus” (yet another pen name), which I will comment on what it is really trying to push here:

Iamblichus biography of Pythagoras
Iamblichus (280-333 A.D.)
IAMBLICHUS of Syrian Chalcis's
LIFE OF PYTHAGORAS

CHAPTER I

IMPORTANCE OF THE SUBJECT

Since wise people are in the habit of invoking the divinities at the beginning of any philosophic consideration, this is all the more necessary on studying that one which is justly named after the divine Pythagoras. Inasmuch as it emanated from the divinities it could not be apprehended without their inspiration and assistance.

You can't understand it without “knowing God”.

Besides, its beauty and majesty so surpasses human capacity, that it cannot be comprehended in one glance.

You can't understand it because you are “human” (ergo it's that **bad** association with the “soul”, and it's creation, the body.)

Gradually only can some details of it be mastered when, under divine guidance we approach the subject with a quiet mind.

More “God” has to help you understand it, with some Buddhism “sit still and do nothing” doctrine thrown in as well. Since it sources from the same people, that shouldn't be too difficult to see why it's put this way.

This is my favorite part, I'll leave you to figure out why (smile):

*Therefore we shall not begin with any excuses for the long neglect of this sect, **nor by any explanations about its having been concealed by foreign disciplines, or mystic symbols, nor insist that it has been obscured by false and spurious writings, nor make apologies for any special hindrances to its progress.** For us it is sufficient that this is the will of the Gods, which all enable us to undertake tasks even more arduous than these. Having thus acknowledged our primary submission to the divinities, our secondary devotion shall be to the prince and father of this philosophy as a leader. We shall, however have to begin by a study of his descent and nationality.*

...No one will deny that the soul of Pythagoras was sent to mankind from Apollo's domain, having either been one of his attendants, or more intimate associates, which may be inferred both from his birth, and his versatile wisdom.

Oh brother. No one will deny? Ok, I will.

... three books on Athletics, in which he recommends a diet of flesh, instead of dry figs, which of course would hardly have been written by the Mnesarchian Pythagoras.

True, meat works better. And of course their “Pythagoras” invention wouldn’t allow that to be known.

...Moreover Pythagoras is generally acknowledged to have been the inventor and legislator of friendship.

Oh come on, like noone was ever friends before Pythagoras *invented* it? The arrogance here...

The next part sounds a lot like Scientology’s Chart of Human Evaluation. Imagine that!

... As he therefore thus prepared his disciples for culture, he did not immediately receive as an associate any who came to him for that purpose until he had tested them and examined them judiciously. To begin with he inquired about their relation to their parents and kinsfolk. Next he surveyed their laughter, speech or silence, as to whether it was unreasonable; further, about their desires, their associates, their conversation, how they employed their leisure, and what were the subjects of their joy or grief. He observed their form, their gait, and the whole motions of their body. He considered their frame's natural indications physiognomically, rating them as visible exponents of the invisible tendencies of the soul. After subjecting a candidate to such trials, he allowed him to be neglected for three years, still covertly observing his disposition towards stability, and genuine studiousness, and whether he was sufficiently averse to glory, and ready to despise popular honors.

*After, this the candidate was compelled to observe silence for five years, so as to have made definite experiments in continence of speech, inasmuch as the subjugation of the tongue is the most difficult of all victories, as has indeed been unfolded by those who have instituted the mysteries. During this probation, however, the property of each was disposed of in common, being committed to trustees, who were called politicians, economizers or legislators. Of these probationers, after the quinquennial silence, those who by modest dignity had won his approval as worthy to share in his doctrines, then became esoterics, and within the veil both heard and saw Pythagoras. Prior to this they **participated in his words through the hearing alone**, without seeing him who remained within the veil, [oh it’s the man behind the curtain...the wizard of oz...that’s hilarious] and themselves offering to him a specimen of their manners. If rejected, they were given the double of the wealth they had brought, **but the auditors raised to him a tomb, as if they were dead; the disciples being generally called auditors.***

Auditors....???? In a book from the 1920’s...30 years before Hubbard’s Dianetics???

Oh wow. So this **is** where Scientology pulled that from. It defines an auditor as “one who listens and computes”, so put the above together with this, from earlier in the article:

The “Pythagoreans”, then split into two schools allegedly, *the akousmatikoi (listeners)*, and the matematikoi (learners). *The first focused on the “religious and ritualistic aspects”* (as given by the Hellenized Jews of course), the second focused on “Math and Science”, which was more to the point at least I suppose.

Also note the Jewish *Cherem* (the Jewish predecessor to Scientology’s “disconnection” behaviours) showing here in:

but the auditors raised to him a tomb, as if they were dead

That is exactly what the jewish *cherem* did, treated the person as if they were dead, like they didn’t exist.

Look at what David Ziff, (William B. Ziff of Ziff Publishing son) says again:

The Nation

29 September 1969

Donovan Bess

*To explore this, I asked a question of David Ziff, a **Columbia anthropology graduate** who supervises the top level of auditing at the Advanced Organization of the American Church in Los Angeles. "If he's an ex-Scientologist," Ziff said, "**he's an ex-human.**"*

Disconnection, as per Scientology, is also showing in the following of this “biography”:

Should these later happen to meet the rejected candidate, they would treat him as a stranger, declaring that he whom they had by education modeled had died, inasmuch as the object of these disciplines had been to be turned out good and honest men.

Those who were slow in the acquisition of knowledge were considered to be badly organized or, we may say, deficient, and sterile.

So, wait, if a person doesn't buy into this BS, they are “badly organized”, “deficient”, and “sterile”? That's evil, as well as disgustingly arrogant. It is also quite the convenient circular logic there. You can see the view in Scientology of the “Suppressive Person” showing as well.

*If, however, after Pythagoras had studied them physiognomically, their gait, motions and state of health, he conceived good hopes of them; and if, after the five years' silence, and the emotions and initiations from so many disciplines together with the ablutions of the soul, and so many and so great purifications produced by such various theorems, through which sagacity and sanctity is ingrained into the soul.....if, after all this even, someone was found to be still sluggish and dull, they would raise to such a candidate within the school a pillar or monument, such as was said to have been done to Perialus the Thurian, and Cylon the prince of the Sybarites, who were rejected, they expelled him from the auditorium, loading him down with silver and gold. This wealth had by them been deposited in common, in the care of certain custodians, aptly called Economics. **Should any of the Pythagoreans later meet with the reject, they did not recognize him whom they accounted dead.***

*Hence also Lysis, **blaming a certain Hipparchus for having revealed the Pythagorean doctrines to the profane**, and to such as accepted them without disciplines or theory, said:*

*"It is reported that you philosophise indiscriminately and publicly, which is opposed to the customs of Pythagoras. With assiduity you did indeed learn them, O Hipparchus; but you have not preserved them. My dear fellow, you have tasted Sicilian tit-bits, which you should not have repeated. If you give them up, I shall be delighted; but if you do not, you will to me be dead. For it would be pious to recall the human and divine precepts of Pythagoras, and **not to communicate the treasures of wisdom to those who have not purified their souls, even in a dream.** It is unlawful to give away things obtained with labors so great, and with assiduity so diligent to the first person you meet, quite as much as to divulge the mysteries of the Eleusynian goddesses to the profane. Either thing would be unjust and impious. We should consider how long a time was needed to efface the stains that had insinuated themselves in our breasts, before we **became worthy to receive the doctrines of Pythagoras.***

So, the rest of us are “profane” and unworthy, and our “souls” have not been purified, is why we cannot be told “the truth”? Unbelievable!

Also, note that in Scientology, the “*purification of the soul*” shows up as Dianetics, where the “reactive mind” (GE/body in scientology is the “reactive mind”) has to have it’s “engrams erased”. So, what I have called the “soul” (creator of the body), has to be **purified** first into a “Clear”, before one can advance to the “*real truth*” for you, the “spirit”, ballyhooed as being **in** Scientology’s OT levels. The exact same tactic as is ascribed to the Pythagoreans is being employed. More evidence of Scientology’s actual roots here, jewish propaganda for the masses.

From HCOPL 11 August 1971 Issue V – Security of Data

“Therefore our firm action will be that the moment we find the materials of the Clearing Course or OT Course has escaped or been misused will quickly trace the person who was insecure and cut off all further or any future Clearing or OT data issue to that person.”

“You must realise that we suffer, all of us, from the misuse of knowledge concerning the mind at a very early period”.

You can say that again, like the mind-twists we are given in Scientology’s predecessors.

I also did not fail to notice that the above excerpt from the “biography” of Pythagoras, is also a flowery veiled excuse as to “why” there was nothing in writing from the “Pythagoreans”.

Pythagoras considered most necessary the use of parables in instruction.

The Agadah from the Talmud, in action again.

*Those who came from this school, not only the most ancient Pythagoreans, but also those who during his old age were still young, such as Philolaos, and Eurytus, Charendas and Zaleucus, Brysson and the elder Archytas, Aristaeus, Lysis and Empdocles, Zamoixis and Epimanides, Milo and Leucippus, Alcmaeon and Hippasus, and Thymaridas were all of that age, a multitude of savants, incomparably excellent, --- all these adopted this mode of teaching, both in their conversations, and commentaries and annotations. **Their writings** also, and all the books which they published, most of which have been preserved, to our times, **were not composed** in popular or vulgar diction, or in a manner usual to all other writers, so as to be immediately understood, but **in a way such as to be not easily apprehended by their readers**. For they adopted **Pythagoras's law of reserve, in an arcane manner concealing divine mysteries from the uninitiated**, obscuring their writings and mutual conversations.*

This is also the Agadah/Qabalah, “secret symbols” etc. You can see the influence here in what would later be “Freemasons” and their “secret symbols” and rituals.

First of all, if they didn’t want it understood by anyone, **why write it at all?** Secondly, note the “mystery” ascribed here. This is exactly like *Lord of the Rings* where these esoteric prophecies, songs and poetry are woven into the story, that supposedly reveal some kind of truth to the “initiated”, and “**enlightened master**” status to those that “write” such things.

How about that it makes no sense to us, because **it makes no sense!** Because...it’s not reality/truth, and **it is in no way workable.**

Those smart enough to see that, are then called...”deficient” and “sterile”, or in Scientology, “degraded being”, “Suppressive person”, and anti-social personality. (most of the attributes of which would be *perfectly applicable* to these guys that promote such lies).

A really fabulous attempt to justify what I just said above about someone who sees their lies and their ridiculousness, comes next:

*The result is that they who presents theses symbols without unfolding their meaning by a unsuitable exposition, **runs the danger of exposing them to the charge of being ridiculous and inane, trifling and garrulous.** When however they expounded according to these symbols, and made clear and obvious even to the crowds, then they will be found analogous to prophetic sayings such as the oracles of the Pythian Apollo.*

That’s because they ARE “trifling and garrulous”, and “ridiculous and inane”...Oh you just havent initiated properly...yeah right..

Their admirable meaning will inspire those who unite intellect and scholarliness.

It might be well to mention a few of them, explain this mode of discipline.

Not negligently enter into a temple or adore carelessly, even if only at the doors.

Sacrifice and adore unshod.

Shunning public roads, walk in unfrequented paths.

Not without light speak about Pythagoric affairs.

Such is a sketch of the symbolic mode of teaching adopted by Pythagoras.

And an excellent example of “what the heck?”, it is.

...Of his piety, let this be a specimen: that he knew what his soul was, whence it came into the body, and also its former lives, of this giving the most evident indications.

A tiny bit of truth concerning the eternal existence of the two beings.

Further, all his biographers insist that during the same day he was present in Metapontum in Italy, and at Tauromenium in Sicily, discoursing with his disciples in both places, although these cities are separate, both by land and sea by many stadia, the traveling over which consumes many days.

Note that the two excerpts above, are also used in Propaganda concerning Mr. Hubbard, stories of his “knowing his past lives” (Mission into Time), and stories concerning his *appearing* in two places at once, that used to circulate in the Scientology public.

*...Evidently we should do those things in which God delights. Not easy, however, is it for a man to know which these are, unless he obtains this knowledge from one who has heard God, or has heard God himself, **or procures it through divine art.** Hence also the Pythagoreans were studious of divination, which is an interpretation of the benevolence of the Gods. That such an employment is worth while will be admitted by one who believes in the Gods; but he who thinks that either of these is folly will also be of opinion that both are foolish. Many of the precepts of the Pythagoreans derived from the mysteries.*

Remember Ficino’s plan to “teach things through art”? So, in what is presented to us as “Masters” art, we are being taught how to worship God, and not told that. That’s just fascinating, isn’t it?

Pythagoras should be received as referring not to a mere man, but to a super-man. This is also what is meant by their maxim, that man, bird, ar[--] another th[---] thing are bipeds, thereby referring to Pythagoras. Such, therefore, on account of his piety, was Pythagoras; and such he was truly thought to be.

Homo Novis of Scientology, and the Hitler “Super-man”, as well as Marvel Comics (jewish front group), etc. etc.

Abstract:

1939 - Marvel Comics - A subsidiary of Marvel Entertainment - Founded by Martin Goodman, as Timely Comics in New York City

1941 – December - Wonder Woman

The media, arts and entertainment are used to spread ideas for public relations and propaganda purposes. The inception of movies and television after WW II provided a new vehicle for reality engineers to ply their trade. With the inception of computers, children are targeted with ideas presented in computer video games.

Wonder Woman

Wonder Woman is a DC Comics superheroine co-created by William Moulton Marston and wife Elizabeth Holloway Marston. Marston had worked as a prison psychologist. Max Gaines and Jack Liebowitz of All-American Publications gave Marston the go-ahead. Wonder Woman first appeared in December 1941.

Marston designed Wonder Woman to serve as a female role model of an empowered independent female.

Wonder Woman is Princess Diana, based on the Greek moon goddess Selene. The Romans called her Diana. Diana was awarded several gifts by the gods – superhuman strength, flight, resistance to magic, and immune to mind control. One of her weapons is the Lasso of Truth that was forged from the Magic belt of the Greek goddess Aphrodite.

The Lasso of Truth forces anyone to speak the truth. Diana was made immortal by the gods, so she does not age.



Images of bound women frequently graced the covers of Sensation Comics and Wonder Woman. William Marston said:

"Confinement is just a sporting game to Wonder Woman, an actual enjoyment of being subdued. This is the one truly great contribution of my Wonder Woman strip to moral education of the young. The only hope for peace is to teach people who are full of pep and unbound force to enjoy being bound. Women are exciting for this one reason - it is the secret of women's allure - women enjoy submission, being bound. This I bring out in the Paradise Island sequences where the girls beg for chains and enjoy wearing them."

Paradise Island

In Greek tradition the Amazons were daughters of Ares, the god of war. In Greek myths, the Amazons were a nation of female warriors who were daughters of the war god Ares.

In the comic book, the Amazons are the reincarnated souls of women slain throughout history by men and given immortal life by the goddess Aphrodite. The Amazons were given gifts of physical strength, beauty, wisdom, and love. The Amazons founded the city-state of Themyscira, in ancient Greece.

Themyscira was known as Paradise Island. After living her entire life on Paradise Island, Diana was given a tour of Greece, the country of her ancestors. At the end of the tour she reaches Lesbos Island where she contemplates in solitude the fates of her Amazon sisters who once flourished on Lesbos.

The women are fervently religious, worshipping their gods. They are taught virtue, love, and equality to men. Homosexuality is completely accepted. They all wear the Bracelets of Submission.

They were guardians of Doom's Doorway, preventing the escape of monsters beneath.

A cavern was built under the Amazons' Temple of the Dead. After an Amazon's funeral the body was lowered into the cavern where it was laid to rest in the city of the dead. The temple priestess watches over the dead. The priestess had a mad outburst and brought the dead to life through the use of magic. The Amazons then started burning their dead.

It was during the War of the Gods that the Amazons vowed to once again become warriors. They fought against the alien forces of Imperix. After Themyscira had been destroyed by Imperix during the Our Worlds at War storyline, Themyscira was rebuilt and relocated to the Bermuda Triangle.

The new Themyscira was built with the help of alien technology. Themyscira was restored by the combined might of the Greek and Egyptian goddesses the Amazons worship.

Wonder Woman Becomes Ambassador to Earth

In Wonder Woman's origin story, Steve Trevor, an intelligence officer in the US Army, crashed his plane on Paradise Island, the isolated homeland of the Amazons.

Princess Diana used a purple ray to nurse Trevor back to health, and fell in love with him. Aphrodite said it was time for an Amazon to travel to "Man's World" to fight evil Nazis.

Diana used the Sandals of Hermes to cross the dimensional impasse between Paradise Island and the outside world.

Steve Trevor returned to the outside world and he was Wonder Woman's crime-fighting partner. Wonder Woman joined the Justice Society of America. Diana fought Silver Swan who was granted wings and deafening sonic powers through genetic engineering.

Diana is fully immune to Doctor Psycho's illusions and mind control. Superman, his mind controlled by Maxwell Lord, engages in a fight with Wonder Woman, thinking she is his enemy Doomsday. Diana realizes that even if she defeats Superman, he would still remain under Max Lord's absolute mental control. She races back to Max Lord's location and demands that he tell her how to free Superman from his mental control. Bound by her lasso of truth, Max replies: "Kill me." Wonder Woman then snaps his neck.

In the OMAC Project, the Brother Eye satellite (the deranged Artificial Intelligence controlling the OMACs) broadcasts the footage of Wonder Woman killing Maxwell Lord to media outlets all over the world.

Brother Eye initiates the final protocol "Truth and Justice", which aims at the total elimination of the Amazons. A full-scale invasion of Themyscira is set into motion, utilizing every remaining OMAC. The Amazons prepare to destroy the OMACs with a powerful new weapon, the Purple Death Ray. Wonder Woman chooses not to join them, and is left to face the OMACs on her own.



OMACs attack Themyscira

The World Court dropped the charges against Diana for killing Maxwell Lord. Diana helps a women's movement that has established shelters for abused women.

The Wonder Woman that appeared in Tangent Comics was a genetically engineered being intended to bridge the gaps between two warring species of aliens.

Nubia

Diana's sister, Nubia, was kidnapped by Mars, who raised her, controlling her mind so that she would help him bring down the Amazons.



Nubia challenges Diana to single combat and they fight to a draw. Nubia tells Diana that they will meet again and one of them will be proven the true Wonder Woman.

In the Underworld, Nubia meets the Zoroastrian god of light, Ahura Mazda, and became his lover. She was given the ability called Cold Sight which allows her to transform any living being into stone.

Wonder Woman attempts to liberate the oppressed women of Africa. She tells them that men still treat women as if they were possessions and it's time for women to stand up to men, with Wonder Woman as leader.

Selene

Selene is an immortal mutant Sorceress Priestess of the Hellfire Club in Marvel Comics.

Selene derives from the Greek moon goddess Selene. She is an enemy of the X-Men.



Selene possesses superhuman abilities: Telepathy, levitation, can appear and disappear, can drain the life essence from others, Immortality (her body can reconstruct itself).

Xena: Warrior Princess

Xena is based on the Greek moon goddess Selene.

Xena met Alti, a shamaness, who lured Xena toward greater evil with promises that she would become the Destroyer of Nations. Ares, the God of War, gave Xena her signature weapon, the Chakram of Darkness.



Ten years after turning to evil, Xena fights Hercules. Hercules refuses to kill Xena. He tells Xena that there is goodness in her heart, and they share a brief romantic relationship, before Xena decides to leave and start making amends for her past.

Xena is finally able to achieve sufficient inner serenity to master the qi powers of her mentor Lao Ma; a form of psychokinesis that allowed her to deal a powerful blow to a person or object with spiritual energy, shattering walls and repelling attackers. She is able to use qi powers to turn an entire attacking army to stone.

Xena encountered the Virgin Mary with baby Jesus. Xena gave birth to a child she conceived without sex.

Xena also plays a key role in the destruction of the Greek Gods, and the transition to monotheism. Xena's religion was called the Elijan faith, it was the show's version of Christianity. Among the Elijans, Xena is known as "Defender of the Faith."

Xena helped David kill Goliath and defeat the Philistines. She even stood against Lucifer, the King of Hell.
Wikipedia Encyclopedia

I bet all that takes on an entirely different light to you now, it certainly does for me!

Continuing with our 1920 book:

...He was the first to give a name to philosophy, describing it as a desire for and love of wisdom, which latter he defined as the science of objectified truth. Beings he defined as immaterial and eternal natures, alone possessing a power that is efficacious, as are incorporeal essences. The rest of things are beings only figuratively, and considered such only through the participation of real beings; such are corporeal and material forms, which arise and decay without ever truly existing. Now wisdom is the science of things which are truly beings; but not of the mere figurative entities. Corporeal natures are neither the objects of science, nor admit of a stable knowledge, since they are infinite, and by science incomprehensible, and when compared with universals resemble non-beings, and are in a genuine sense non-definable.

That's just about as clear as mud!

But do note, that you have philosophy (remember by writers who were NOT Pythagoras), as being *the science of objectified truth*.

Oh, so that's what "natural wisdom" and "Laws of Noah" as characterized by Mendelssohn, is referring to. So now Science, is philosophy, is RELIGION.

That's just perfect.

Excuse me while I go howl with laughter for a minute...

Well no wonder, in my article talking about DNA and telepathy, we get "Explanation: Unknown". That's "good science", according to these guys.

I'd say we have enough of this book's angle at this point, so I'll leave it at that.

Oh wait...you have to see this:

...Only a very few are qualified to apprehend and opine rightly; for evidently this is limited to the intelligent, who are very few. To the crowds, such a qualification of course does not extend.

And so sayeth Edward Bernays, the ***father of spin***:

The **engineering of consent** is the very essence of the democratic process, the freedom to persuade and suggest. - *Edward L. Bernays*

The central idea behind the engineering of consent is that the public or **people should not be aware of the manipulation** taking place. – *Wikipedia*

The "Engineering of Consent" essay by Bernays was published in *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*. 1947; 250: 113-120

<http://ann.sagepub.com/cgi/reprint/250/1/113>

Propaganda, by Edward Bernays, 1928 – Chapter 1 – Organizing Chaos

THE conscious and intelligent manipulation of the organized habits and opinions of the masses is an important element in democratic society. **Those who manipulate this unseen mechanism of society constitute an invisible government** which is the true ruling power of our country.

Propaganda – Chapter 4 – The Psychology Of Public Relations

If we understand the mechanism and motives of the group mind, is it not possible **to control and regiment the masses according to our will without their knowing it?**

By the way, Bernays was a disciple of Sigmund Freud, who was one of the first B'nai B'rith members of the Vienna lodge. In fact, that's where he gave his lectures about "dreams", when he was ostracized publically, before Bernays did his thing and "spun" how "great" Freud was.

Isn't that just perfect? Another B'nai B'rith guy. I'll get into more about Freud in a different article.

This is interesting, (ok, I said I was done, but just this one more thing):

... None of the Pythagoreans called Pythagoras by his name. While alive, they referred to him as the divine one; after his death, as "that man" just as Homer makes Eumaeus refer to Ulysses thus:

*"Thou absent he may be, O guest, I fear
To name him; so great is my love and care."*

Like how the Jews won't say "God's" name?

Yep, just like it.

He doesn't have a name because...he doesn't exist.

And..of course, because this "name" is a complete invention anyway (Pythagoras), AND...the real guy...it's also true that they DEFINITELY don't want his name known. Trick, tricky, tricky, that statement was!

*Such were some of his precepts: They were to get up before sunrise, and never to wear a ring on which the image of God was engraved, lest that image be defiled by being worn at funerals, or other impure place. **They were to adore the rising sun.***

Ex-Oriente Lux..., there we go, the "brothers of light" (jews).

Next biography, just another example showing more of the fictional world being created here, including the "origin" of the first "teachings of Pythagoras":

ANONYMOUS BIOGRAPHY OF PYTHAGORAS

Preserved by PHOTIUS

... but until the time of Philolaus no doctrines of Pythagoras were ever divulged; and he was the first person who published the three celebrated books which Plato wrote to have purchased for him for a hundred minae.

*According to the account given by Aristoxenus, in his tenth book of his Laws on Education, the rest of the Pythagoreans used to say that **his precepts ought not to be divulged to all the world;***

The book continues with covert inclusion of the laws of Moses, re-adapted by another greek pen name, and then "interpreted" as to what their "real" meaning is.

There are some real doozies in this next part.

VOLUME TWO

Pythagorean Fragments

PYTHAGORAS'S GOLDEN VERSES.

Philolaus

*...b. (Macrob. Dream of Scipio, I:04). Plato says that the soul is a self-moving essence; Xenocrates defines the soul as a self-moving number; Aristotle calls it an entelechy; and Pythagoras and Philolaus, **a harmony.***

Bare hint of truth of the “harmony” possible between the “soul” and the “spirit” if they act together.

*...d. (Clem.Strom. 3: p.433). It will help us to remember the Pythagorean Philolaus's utterance that the ancient theologians and divines claimed that **the soul is bound to the body as a punishment, and is buried in it as in a tomb.***

Oh is it....I think not.

Archytas of Tarentum (400 B.C.)

Man is born and is created to know the essence of universal nature; and precisely the function of wisdom is to possess and contemplate the intelligence manifested in the beings.

...22.a. The laws of the wicked and atheists are opposed by the unwritten laws of the Gods, who inflict evils and terrible punishments on the disobedient. It is these divine laws which have developed and directed the laws and written maxims given to men.

...Essentially, the command belongs to the better; being commanded to the inferior, and force belongs to both; for the reasonable part of the soul commands and the irrational part is commanded; both have the force to conquer the passions. Virtue is born from the harmonious cooperation of both; and leads the soul to rest and indifference by turning it away from pleasures and sorrows.

[this part has a section on logic that sounds **a lot** like sens axioms or factors]

CALLICRATIDAS 500 B.C. (remember this is NOT in writing from this time, but MUCH later)

*...**Since therefore the husband rules over the wife,***

How Moses of them.

Perictyone (430 B.C.)

*...The body itself demands no more than to be saved from cold and nakedness, for the sake (of) propriety, and that is all it needs. Men's opinions, combined with ignorance, demands inanities and superfluities. **No woman should be decorated with gold, nor gems from India, nor any other country, nor plait her hair artistically, nor be perfumed with Arabian perfumes, nor paint her face so that it may be more white or more red, nor give a dark tinge to her eyebrows and her eyes, nor artificially dye her gray hair, nor bathe continually.***

*...In a be---ring manner she should bear any stroke of fortune that may strike her husband; whether he is unfortunate in business, or makes ignorant mistakes, is sick, intoxicated, **or has connection with other women. This last is a privilege granted to men, but not to women, since they are punished for this offence. She must submit to the law with equanimity, without jealousy. She should likewise patiently bear his anger, his parsimony, complaints he may make of his destiny, his jealousy, his accusations of here and whatever other faults he may inherit from his nature. All these she should cheerfully endure,** conducting herself towards him with prudence and modesty.*

It is so patently obvious in the above, the jewish troublemakers absolute HATRED and jealousy of women. Considering a lot of them were (and are) homosexuals and pedophiles, that's certainly not out of character. As homosexuals, women were “competition”, as pedophiles, women were a deadly enemy who would kill to protect her children.

I think these guys, as I said before, much better deserve the “brothers of darkness” label.

METOPUS 400 B.C.

...Since the soul is divided into two parts, the rational and the irrational

Scientology's analytical and reactive minds. Analytical being you, the spirit, and reactive being the GE or "soul".

CRITO [400 B.C.]

...God fashioned man in a way such as to declare that not through the want of power or deliberate choice, that man is incapable of impulsion to beauty of conduct. In man was implanted a principle such as to combine the possible with the desirable; so that while man is the cause of power and of the possession of good, God is that of reasonable impulse and incitation. So God made man tend to heaven, gave him an intellectual power, implanted in him a sight called intellect, which is capable of beholding God. For without God, it is impossible to discover what is best and most beautiful; and without intellect we cannot see God, since every mortal nature's establishment implied a progressive loss of intellect. It is not God, however, who effected this, but generation, and that impulse of the soul which lacks deliberate choice.

I like this one because it's another fine example of "if you don't see God it's because you are stupid" circular logic.

STHENIDAS THE LOCRIAN [400 B.C.]

...Nothing is beautiful, that lacks a director, or ruler. Again, no king or ruler can exist without wisdom and science. He therefore who is both a sage and a king will be an imitator and legitimate minister of God.

Temple Emanu-El's "Kingdom of Priests", as stated in their goals of Reform Judaism.

· Timaeus Locrius (480-450 B.C.)

The Soul and The World

Now seeing that the earlier is more powerful in power and time than the later, the deity did not rank the soul after the substance of the body, but made it older, by taking the first of unities, 384 (12 x 16)[x 2?].

The above is practically word for word of one of the Dianetics axioms/principles in regards "running an engram". The earliest engram holds the whole "chain" in place.

COMPOSITION OF THE SOUL

*...Now of the soul of man one portion is rational and intellectual; and another irrational and unintellectual. Of the logical part, the best portion is derived from Sameness, while the worse comes from Difference; **and each is situated around the head**, so that the other portions of the soul and body may minister to it, as the uppermost of the whole tabernacle.*

One tiny piece of truth.

Another BIG whopper coming up here, but also revelation of actual *TACTICS* used against the rest of us:

...HUMAN DESTINY

*Now he to whom the deity has happened to assign some what of a good fate, is, through opinion, led to the **happiest life**. But if he be morose and indocile, let the punishment that comes from law and reason follow him; bringing with it the fears ever on the stretch, both those that originate in heaven or Hades; how that punishments inexorable, are below laid up for the unhappy, as well as those ancient Homeric threats of retaliation for the wickedness of those defiled by crime (Odyssey, xii 571-599).*

Assigned? As in we are to believe someone ELSE is in control of our actions? What a huge lie.

*For as we sometimes restore bodies to health by means of diseased substances, if they will not yield to the more healthy, **so if the soul will not be led by true reasoning, we restrain it by false.** Strange indeed would those punishments be called since, by a change, **the souls of cowards enter into bodies of women, who are inclined to insulting conduct;***

Meaning, the women didn't buy the flowery horsepuckey of these lying a-holes, and so correctly, make fun of the so-called "master" Jewish males.

*But note the tactic of **if the soul will not be led by true reasoning, we restrain it by false.***

Edward Bernays lied copiously in his propaganda campaigns, saying it was part of "democracy" over the masses. You can see where that comes from, and STILL IS USED.

Also, look at this again now:

Book: *The invention of autonomy: a history of modern moral philosophy* By Jerome B. Schneewind - 1998

*"Evildoers, driven by their lusts, seek to avoid the pangs of conscience, so they blind themselves to its clear dictates. They also strive to veil and confuse the moral thoughts of those whom they wish to entangle in their wicked schemes. **Bad reasoning is one of their basic tools.**"*

Continuing with the Pythagoras book:

...and those of the blood-stained would be punished by being introduced into the bodies of wild beasts; of the lascivious, into the bodies of sows and boars; of the light-minded and frivolous into shaper and aeronautic birds; and of those who neither do learn or think of nothing, into the bodies of idle fish.

[India's caste system here, shows who did BOTH then]

all the excerpts of the 1920 Pythagoras book were taken from:

<http://www.completepythagoras.net>

Let's take a look at what Mr. Hubbard has to say on a few things:

Book - Science of Survival:

*The biologist, revolting against churches which may or may not have considerably suppressed scientific research in the past, has sought to dream for man an origin out of mud and ammonia seas and **a source for him independent of God**, but springing only from material things.*

... Materialistic science, operating on the premise that man came from mud only, that the mind is a queerly erroneous stimulus-response mechanism, that the human soul is a delusion, that God was a myth of some aberrated Mesopotamian, has presented us at last with the immediate and real threat of man's extinction as a species.

Notice what is NOT here.

That, a *specific being, complete with their own unique identity*, is creating the body you are in.

And notice that the two options that **are** there, 1 – life from inanimate matter, which is of course untrue, but notice the use of that to then juxtapose option 2 – which is another untruth, that it was the non-specific vaguely pluralistic “God” that created “Man”.

This is simply a tactic of manipulation. Use your knowledge and correct disbelief of the man from mud idea, to lead you by agreement with that, to the “spiritual” option, but then once there, gives you another untruth about that.

Now, in Scientology, as well as Judaism, beings who do not subscribe to the Judaistic “God’s laws” method of being a “happy human”, are....the ***“Forces of Evil”***, and for this “crime”, will decline toward total pain, and cannot exist as even themselves anymore. **Very** wishful thinking on their part, I am here to tell you.

You may know this, as more commonly stated.....as HELL.

In book, *Science of Survival*:

Religions, fighting uphill against the oppressions of Godless ideologies, may gain new strength and meaning.Those who succumb to the Forces of Evil and are unable to live more than evil and destructive lives would seem, should these conclusions be borne out on further investigation of a scientific nature, to be entered not only upon a dwindling spiral in one generation but upon a decline toward a final end of pain or non-survival as personal identities.

The “Forces of Evil”, is another name for the “Brothers of Darkness”.

Science of Survival:

As one examines these upper levels of mind, when one examines the evidence of the theta body, and when one himself experiences, incidental to processing, the evidence of his own continuation into yesterdays and an evident guarantee of his tomorrows past his death in the current generation, **one’s orientation with regard to goals and purposes may undergo a considerable alteration.**

This, is the point of Judaism/Scientology, to have you change your goals and purposes to align within THEIR SYSTEM.

Now, let’s bring Moses Mendelssohn, the 3rd Moses of Judaism (Reform Judaism), into this, giving an example of what option we are to believe is NOT POSSIBLE:

According to Sorkin, Mendelssohn was a modern continuator of what he designates (borrowing a phrase from Bernard Septimus) as the "Andalusian" tradition in medieval Jewish thought. This term refers to an approach to Judaism whose "defining characteristic was that it kept philosophy subordinate to piety and observance by refusing to admit a contemplative educational ideal that promoted a search for ultimate truths or secret knowledge. By denying the possibility of a comprehensive science of the divine and...

http://muse.jhu.edu/login?uri=/journals/modern_judaism/v017/17.2br_sorkin.html

The Divine, being the same as Scientology’s 8th Dynamic, the “world of God and his created spirits”.

So, in no way, per Sorkin, is OUR existence as individual beings, allowed to be considered under the rubrick of science and reason, in and as ourselves. At best, we are lumped under “The Divine”, and “God”, and when evidence is seen of us as beings, and what we can do, like in the DNA and telepathy article, what do we get from “Science”?

Explanation: Unknown.

Don't you find that fascinating?

We're back to that WE are not “normal”...no, we as beings, and what we can do, are “divine”, “paranormal”, and “supernatural”. Or if we are granted any of this at all, it's **because of GOD**, (or Judaism's other term “**Nature**”) that we even “have” any of these abilities, or even exist. [also see Swedenborg re talking with spirits]

In scientology, here is an example of how we, as beings, are “downgraded”:

Science of Survival:

[the so-called “science” of Dianetics]

*If **Dianetics** does not come too late upon the scene, its investigation of higher mind levels, even at this low and undeveloped point, may be of assistance to a resurgence in man of something of his belief in a Divine Being and in himself as an entity partially divine.*

That **you**, are portrayed as an “entity” and “partially divine” as compared to Mr. “Divine Being”, says it all.

But remember, apparently Mendelssohn denied *the possibility of a comprehensive science of “the Divine”*. As you can now see, this is just regurgitated jewish “Pythagoreanism”.

However, Mendelssohn in 1763, received the Berlin Academy essay prize (over Kant, Immanuel) for *Abhandlung über die Evidenz in den metaphysischen Wissenschaften*, in which he attempts to demonstrate the possibility of a scientific metaphysics on par with mathematics. Again, that's their redefined meaning of “philosophy” [see earlier part of article on that point]

Most people think of the word **Metaphysics**, as somehow relating to spirits, spiritual abilities, etc., and putting the word “scientific” in front of it, makes it sound like you are going to really grant solid credence to their existence.

In regards to Mendelssohn and his essay, this is not really what it appears, ie: a true scientific view being taken of “the Divine”. IE: granting US, as beings, “scientific” status as being REAL and NORMAL.

It is, however, definitely their **version** of science. When it comes to you, and your partner, the soul?

Explanation: Unknown.

First, you need to know what epistemology means. And after you read the definition, you'll probably not get why it's needed anyway, which would be a correct view, because it's not needed. In my opinion, this is an area of the most circular logic, uselessly rambling, bunch of lies that helps nothing, that I have ever seen. But, it is necessary to understand the tactic employed here, by these so-called “great thinkers”, of making things WAY more complicated than they should be. So, with that said, here's what Wikipedia says epistemology means:

epistemology – the theory of knowledge is the branch of philosophy concerned with the nature and scope (limitations) of knowledge]

It addresses the questions:

- What is knowledge?
- How is knowledge acquired?
- What do people know?
- How do we know what we know?

Metaphysics – Wikipedia:

Before the development of modern science, scientific questions were addressed as a part of metaphysics known as "natural philosophy"; the term "science" itself meant "knowledge" of epistemological origin. The scientific method, however, made natural philosophy an empirical and experimental activity unlike the rest of philosophy, and by the end of the eighteenth century it had begun to be called "science" in order to distinguish it from philosophy. Thereafter, metaphysics became the philosophical enquiry of a non-empirical character into the nature of existence.

Wow, what a bag of worms.

Simplified version, it is Pythagorean philosophy at the time of Mendelssohn. It sure as hell is not what I mean by “science”, which should mean, if anything, study of the known world, which...includes US, as beings, and creators.

We, are not UNKNOWN. I could say to those that say we are...yeah, who’s asking?

An example of an author’s description, of Mendelssohn’s “metaphysics”:

— *The only worthy end of human endeavor is the happiness and perfection of human individuals. " Humanity " is a mere dead, fixed abstraction. " Science," as such merely, is likewise an empty abstraction. **The prime requisite for the attainment of human happiness is a knowledge of human nature, which is gained only by a careful psychological investigation. This investigation must be conducted, first of all, observation-wise; reason (the reasoning faculty) of itself is liable to err, and must be controlled by the more primitive understanding, whose material is sensations and intuitions. The final criterion of truth is practical need, — the heart.***

...Indispensable for the fulfilment of this injunction and the realization of the idea of perfection is a rational faith in God, in the divine government of the world, and in the immortality of the soul.

*... **Metaphysics** he deems to have every whit the evidence, i. e., the certainty and comprehensibility, that mathematics boasts; it is only **because mathematics has a system of well-chosen symbols, is not concerned with the existence of objects**, and does not immediately affect our interests, that a prejudice in its favor exists. Descartes, indeed, showed clearly and distinctly the mathematical certainty and intelligibility of metaphysics in his demonstrations of the existence of the ego and of God. Without this certainty and intelligibility metaphysics were of course valueless.*

A history of modern philosophy: (From the renaissance to the present) By Benjamin Chapman Burt

It looks to me, like Mr. Hubbard, and whoever really invented Dianetics and Scientology, was looking to be in a 4th Moses capacity, in an attempt to produce a comprehensive “science” of the “Divine”. Unfortunately, he used what I call “perverse science” to do it.

Science of Survival:

For example, even at this time in Dianetics one can prove, as science demands proof in terms of sensing, measuring, and experiencing, the immortality or near immortality of the individual...The basic principles of Dianetics demand that a fact, to be proven, must be sensed, measured, or experienced.

Only...guess who he is actually auditing there in Dianetics,...it is the being that made the body, who he calls “the reactive mind”, so now you see one reason why I call it “perverse science”.

I'd say it's actually pretty well in line with what Mendelssohn's idea was:

The prime requisite for the attainment of human happiness is a knowledge of human nature, which is gained only by a careful psychological investigation. This investigation must be conducted, first of all, observation-wise; and must be controlled by the more primitive understanding, whose material is sensations and intuitions.

I think Hubbard calls that “Basic Personality” (when he is not calling it an “it”, or a “reactive mind”).

Mendelssohn has it as “primitive understanding”.

Which are all more insults, more or less, of the same being(s) in question.

The “soul”, mentioned in the trinity of “body” “soul” and “spirit”.

Mendelssohn:

A history of modern philosophy: (From the renaissance to the present) By Benjamin Chapman Burt

*But if the soul endures, so must its chief attributes, thought and will; and its existence must be a happy one, since it is impossible that God, the perfect being, **could destine it for eternal wretchedness.***

“destine it”.

As in...controlled and created by “God”.

Science of Survival:

The progress upward toward survival on higher levels is a progress as well toward God. The auditor will notice this in case after case. He will probably be struck by the fact that these atheists he processes soon cease to be atheistic in their inclinations and attain at least a tolerance for the idea that religion can exist and have a valid function in a social order. Scanning out some of the education of the individual simply as a step toward converting entheta along a very likely line, the auditor may be interested to note that the preclear begins to speculate on the possibility of a spiritual existence. Although he may embrace no doctrine, the preclear, when he is well up the tone scale, is apparently instinctively aware of some higher level of existence. He normally abandons his materialistic stand as he advances up the tone scale, since this stand happens to be compatible with individuals from 2.0 down.

So...as Hubbard said, the progress upward (levels of mind/tone scale) towards God, has to occur before one can resemble theta, then higher than that, now they can “talk to other beings”.

Exactly in line with Swedenborg [see below]:

“But it is given to no one as a spirit and angel to speak with angels and spirits, unless he be of such a quality

that he can consociate with them as to faith and love; nor can he consociate unless the faith be directed to the Lord and the love to the Lord”

Swedenborg, who, as per the *Menorah*, (the B’nai B’rith official organ) got what he did from the *Agadah* of Judaism.

Which I’ll requote the *Menorah* article again for you:

“...The Jews themselves, or rather the overwhelming majority of them who dwell in civilized countries, ***are as ignorant of the Talmud, of what it teaches, of the doctrines discussed therein, as the mass of Christians*** are of the discussions in the books of the Casuists and of the Fathers of the Church.

...As is well known, two currents ebb and flow through the Talmudic ocean: Halachah and Agadah. The first deals only with positive law, determining the life of the Jew in home and society, the ceremonies that are to be observed and the practices he is to follow in commerce and trade, in the administration of justice whenever autonomy is conceded to him, as well as his intercourse with his co-religionists or his fellow-beings of other belief. The Agadah takes in the entire range of human conception within the purview of poetical imagery, metaphysical speculation, maxims of conduct, with derivative reference to the Biblical text upon which human knowledge and wisdom rests as upon the Divine rock. The Agadah was the ancient Jewish sermon replete with allegory, symbols, verbal emblematics, parables and poetical imagery such as the Orient luxuriates in.

...A great part of the Agadah is taken up with interpretations of the Biblical text, which is treated according to the plain meaning of the language, or psychically and spiritually. ***A hidden and mystic meaning is attributed not only to the words of Scripture but to each letter, and the Agadists had in this esoteric treatment a host of Christian followers, such as Origines, Boehme, Swedenborg, Kaspar, Schwenckfeld and others.*** Wreaths of exquisite legendary traditions are woven around the lives and history of Biblical personages, such as Noah, the Patriarchs, Joseph, Moses, Saul, David and Solomon. Nor are the Biblical events such as the story of the creation, the dwelling and expulsion of Adam and Eve from Paradise, the flood, the exodus of Israel from Egypt, left unadorned. Poetic imagery has its full swing and the threads are woven as delicately and charmingly as Oriental fantasy can spin.

...The object of the Agadah is to appeal to human nature...”

By the way, I do not believe the above mentioned individuals were actually “Christian”. Besides the fact that Christianity is an offshoot from Judaism, which is truly the senior source, I think these people were probably crypto-Jews.

I mean, if they are supposedly truly “Christian”, what are they doing promoting the Agadah?

Exactly.

Note that Boehme, of “L’Aurore Naissante” fame, is on this list of people.

Also note that the Talmudic *Halachah* and *Agadah*, have their corresponding counterparts “*Tech*” and “*Policy*” in Scientology.

Current or past scientologists, may recall an odd addition to the Key to Life course, of having to pass a drill of saying the alphabet backwards. Here is where I think that came from:

THE MENORAH, A MONTHLY MAGAZINE, Official Organ of The Independent Order B’ne B’rith,
EDITED BY M. ELLINGER, Vol XXII, JANUARY, 1897, TO JUNE, 1897

Article entitled: Hillel The Babylonian, by Dr. K. Kohler


*ANCIENT Jewish history has three epochs at the head of each of which stands one man as master and maker: Moses, Ezra and Hillel. **Moses created the Israelitish nation, Ezra Judaism, and Hillel the Talmud, or Rabbinical Judaism.***

*.....One day a would-be proselyte came and argued: " I willingly accept the written Law, but the oral Law I will not accept." Shammai, of course, showed him the door. Hillel taught him first A.. B. C, and **the following day he taught him the Alphabet in opposite order.** "But you taught me the same yesterday in a reverse order," the stranger said. " Since you see," was Hillel's rejoinder, "that you have to rely on me even in learning the Alphabet, how can you expect to learn the whole Law without the guidance and authority of tradition? "*

Perhaps, someone was seeking to establish the “guidance and authority of tradition”, by entering this *same drill* in Scientology.

Judaism, The ONLY option...

Emanuel Swedenborg was a Swedish engineer, who later said he communicated with angels and spirits, and published the Arcana Coelestia (or "Heavenly Secrets") in London in 1749.



(Full title: Heavenly Secrets Contained In The Holy Scriptures Or Word Of God Unfolded -- Beginning With The Book Of Genesis Together With Wonderful Things Seen In The World of Spirits And In The Heaven Of Angels)

9438. *THOSE who are in heaven can discourse and converse with angels and spirits,also with the inhabitants (from other "earths" outside this solar system) themselves whose interiors have been opened, so as to be able to hear those who speak from heaven. A similar privilege is granted to man.....But it is given to no one as a spirit and angel to speak with angels and spirits, unless he be of such a quality that he can consociate with them as to faith and love; nor can he consociate unless the faith be directed to the Lord and the love to the Lord..... (and if he is conjoined with the "Lord")he is secure from the insult of evil spirits who are from hell. With others the interiors cannot be opened at all, for they are not in the Lord. This is the reason why there are few at this day, to whom it is given to discourse and converse with angels....*

9445. *That man cannot do good, nor think truth from himself, but from the Lord...no one can lead away any one from sins, thus remit them, but the Lord alone.*

Ok.

“But it is given to no one as a spirit and angel to speak with angels and spirits, unless he be of such a quality that he can consociate with them as to faith and love; nor can he consociate unless the faith be directed to the Lord and the love to the Lord”

This is another example of putting a false cap on your ability as a being.

Swedenborg saying that you **cannot** speak with other spirits UNLESS you are...“of such a quality”... is the same as a Scientologist saying “***provided they are living their lives ethically***” in reference to whether they can do telepathy or not. Same big “IF” put there.

There is no “IF”.

You have the ability, period. Whether you are USING it or not, or how much of yourself you are.....not allowing to demonstrate in your daily operating methods? - is a different thing entirely.

Also note the “*But it is given*” part. This is again, a veiled reference to that YOU are “created by God”. As if whether or not you can speak with other spirits, is dependant on whether “God” **gave** you this ability or not.

The real icing-on-the-cake, is this loaded statement of:

...nor can he consociate [talk with spirits] unless the faith be directed to the Lord and the love to the Lord

Meaning that UNLESS you give all this “faith” and love to the “Lord”, you **cannot talk with spirits**.

This part, as covered already, is also exactly like the idea of “raising a person on the tone scale”, up through the “levels of mind” as elaborated on by L. Ron Hubbard, in Science of Survival, before one can *consociate [talk with spirits]*.

The top level of mind being, in Scientology, the “God” Dynamic, where the “word” is .

The fact that the word Scientology itself, as defined in the Technical Dictionary, is:

1. it is formed from the Latin word **scio**, which means **know** or distinguish, being related to the word *scindo*, which means cleave. (Thus the idea of differentiation is strongly implied.) It is formed from the Greek word **logos**, which means **THE WORD**, or OUTWARD FORM BY WHICH THE INWARD THOUGHT IS EXPRESSED AND MADE KNOWN: also THE INWARD THOUGHT or REASON ITSELF.

2. Scientology addresses the thetan. **Scientology is used** to increase spiritual freedom, intelligence, ability, and **to produce immortality**. [as if you, facetiously speaking, could be “mortal” and needing of “immortality” – that’s a lie]

Scio + logos = know The Word

Should give you a clear view of that point.

Also, as to the “levels of mind” in Scientology:

The eighth dynamic is the urge toward existence as infinity. This is also identified as **the Supreme Being**.
Scientology Technical Dictionary

Science of Survival quotes:

*It is an empirical observation that **men without a strong and lasting faith in a Supreme Being are less capable, less ethical, and less valuable to themselves and society.***

...A man without an abiding faith is, by observation alone, more of a thing than a man.

A THING?????

Well, he’s certainly right in line with the “Pythagorean teachings”, where they treat the person who sees their lies as “deficient” “reject” “profane” and “unworthy”...not to mention “as if they were dead”.

*...The abandonment of the admission of a Supreme Being as a reality, intimate to the life of man, **makes prostitution** the ideal conduct of a woman; **perfidy and betrayal** the highest ethic level attainable by a man; and **obliteration** by treachery, bomb, and gun **the highest goal attainable by a culture**. Thus, there is no great argument about the reality of a Supreme Being, **since one sees, in the failure to countenance that reality, a slimy and loathsome trail, downward into the most vicious depths.**
*It could be postulated that there are actually several levels of mind function.**

...As has been stated, other levels of mind can be postulated. One could consider as many as eight or ten mind levels. Many more mind levels exist above the analytical level.

*...There may be many levels of mind above the aesthetic mind. Somewhere, **possibly on the fifth level, lies the functioning mind of the spiritual or religious man who has passed over the border of a consideration of MEST or of organisms and is turned toward an understanding of and a co-operation with both the theta universe and the Supreme Being.***

*Oddly enough, or perhaps not so oddly, **hardly any re-interpretation of scripture is necessary, save that the boldness and scope of past considerations about the human soul, God and the Devil, and Heaven and Hell are stabilized and made more contactable.***

This by itself:

“Oddly enough, or perhaps not so oddly...”

Perhaps not so oddly, indeed, how very droll of Mr. Hubbard.

Much similar to where in PDC 20 (the part that Virginia McClaughry documented as being deleted under Miscavige and Rathbun/Rinder’s watch), Mr. Hubbard says...”*All those **Orders** as far as I’m concerned...**have been filled.***”

Scientology....IS Judaism, as is what you see Swedenborg preaching, as is Christianity, as is Islam, as is Buddhism, “natural religion”, so-called “reason” as taught by the Jewish invented philosophers Aristotle, Socrates, Plato, as well as Maimonides, Mendelssohn, etc. etc. etc.

It is the ONLY option we are being given, and that includes every major religion.

It should be well noted that Judaism hides itself in many forms, and that includes so-called “atheists”, “skeptics”, and “free-thinkers”.

Worse, this spider’s web of teachings, the information and viewpoints expressed, are pretty much completely skewed and intentionally give well-defined limits that you “should” agree with. These teachings will not ever, in full, let you open up your self-narrowed views, that are now habit. The afore-mentioned self-narrowed views, were acquired by creating for yourself, the behaviour patterns, operating basises, and “allowed perceptics”, all courtesy of the many and varied bombardments of “how to be a happy human” - as defined by Judaism. Not to mention, that in Judaism, formal, as well as all of it’s cloaked versions, have at one time or another let it be known that there are THREE parts to man.

But that’s for another day, another article.

I think this has given you readers enough to think about for now.